GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF DELHI DIRECTORATE OF EDUCATION (PRIVATE SCHOOL BRANCH) OLD SECRETARIAT, DELHI-110054

No. F. DE-15/ACT-I/WPC-4109/PART/13/ 95/

Dated: 4/10/2017

ORDER

Whereas, the request of Veda Vyasa DAV Public School, Vikaspuri, New Delhi for increase in fee for the academic session 2016-17 was rejected by Director (Education) vide order No.F.DE.15/Act-1/WPC-4109/PART/13/507-511 dated 27.02.2017 with the specific direction to rectify the deficiencies as illustrated in the said order and submit compliance report to Dy. Director of Education concerned within thirty days.

And whereas, the Director (Education) had referred to the representation of Veda Vyasa DAV Public School against the fee hike rejection order of this Directorate and had decided to give an opportunity to the school to be heard in person.

And whereas, a committee was constituted to hear the case of the school in detail with a view to assist the Director of Education to dispose of the representation.

And whereas, in this connection, an opportunity of being heard was provided to the Manager/HoS of Veda Vyasa DAV Public School, Vikaspuri, New Delhi on 18.05.2017 at 03.00PM at Conference Hall, Ludlow Castle School Sports Complex, Civil Lines, Delhi-110054.

And whereas, the submissions of the schools were heard by the above said committee on 18.05.2017 at 03.00PM and during the hearing, the issues raised in the representation of the school were discussed at length.

And whereas, after examining the proposals of Fee Hike submitted by DAV Schools, it was observed that all DAV schools are run under the aegis of DAV College Management Committee (DAVCMC) which runs a number of schools and colleges in all over India and are following the same accounting and financial procedure across all schools, and these common accounting and financial procedures has led to issues such as making contribution to a pool account maintained by DAV CMC for payment of Leave Encashment and Gratuity, maintenance of three separate bank accounts, payment of Administrative Charges to DAV CMC, collecting Rs. 10,000 as building fund from students, deposit of extra funds of schools to DAVCMC, etc. The above procedures are not in consonance with the provisions of DSEA&R, 1973.

And whereas, in order to understand the procedure being followed as well as to seek clarification/justification on these issues, apart from hearing to the respective schools, as mentioned above, the Management of DAV CMC and Director (DAV CMC) alongwith their Account functionaries were called twice to discuss these issues and to obtain necessary clarifications. In this regard, point-wise clarification has been provided by DAV CMC which is as under:



able 1: Common Observations

	Common Obse	Clarification given by DAV	Remarks by
No.	Common	CMC	Though practice followed by
ā.	Observation		DAV Schools is not in
_	The school has	-barges from the	with DSLACINI
	been paying a	administrative chargement for	consonance with bscale,
	been paying to	administrative charges management for schools under its management for schools under its management for	1973. Keeping in View
	sum equal to	schools under its management support providing administrative support providing administrative support	submission/ justification given
	4% of the total	providing administrative providing administrative and varied facilities viz. financial and varied facilities viz.	submission/ justification by DAV CMC as well as keeping managerial
	basic pay of	and varied facilities viz.	
	staff of the	audit of schools through	in view the manager a structure of DAV CMC to have a structure of DAV cmc to have a structure of DAV cmc as well as
	school to	and accounts section, principals audit, training of Principals	
-	DAVCMC. AS	s audit, training of the	check and balances us check and balances us economy in expenditure, the economy in expenditure, are
	per Order no	teachers and other staff of the	n submissions of DAV CMC are
	DE. 15/ Act	schools through centre to	n submissions of
	DE. 15/ ACC	schools through academic Excellence, construction academic Excellence, construction academic by	
	Duggal.Com	academic Excellence, colored and property management by and property management legal and	
	1/203 /9	denarinent,	id .
	/23033-23980	estate department, providing taxation services, provide	ng
	dated	taxation to provide	nt
	15.12.1999 th	services relating to provide is fund, gratuity, leave encashme fund, gratuity, leave encashme	nt
	15.12.1555 th	is fund, gratuity, leave chicas	AV
	management		cal
	restrained fro	om and EDLI etc. nominating loc	00.
	transferring	committee	ee,
	any amou		ing
	from	selection committee shows smooth subcommittee for smooth subcommittee for schools.	oth
	Recognized	subcommittee the schools. D	DAV
	Unaided Sch	subcommittee for schools. D	nce,
	Fund to soci		and
	Fund to soc	athe and ICI	tion
1	or trust or	having a separate educa	cces
	other	having a separate board for our schools for class	atiro
	institution.	board for our schools the er up to VIIIth Standard. The er	ntire
	V	up to VIIIth Standard is b administrative control is b	eing
1		administrative control is dealt at DAV CMC level. All or dealt at DAV cmc level.	ders
1		dealt at DAV CMC levels and relating to postings, trans	ifers,
		relating to postings, circusanctions of purchases, circusanctions of purchases, circusance issued	ulars,
	- C	sanctions of purchases, smed	from
1		notifications etc. are issued	DAV
1		notifications etc. are issued and DAV CMC, New Delhi. The	mont
1		DAV CMC, New Delini CMC has full fledged depart	menc
	1	for assessment and evaluati	ion of
		schools, their printing feed	back,
-		and based on leed necessary steps are being	taken
		necessary steps are being	their
		by DAV CITE	also
		we are	
		providing legal assistant	ce to
		providing to for any	legal
		various schools to has e	ngaged
		disputes. DAV CMC has en	es and
		theff for the duove so	atutory
	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	salaries and other st	and met
		Salarie are nel	I from
		payments of stall are be-	ed from
		from these charges considered schools is nominal considered supprise of	ering the
		schools is nominal constant	services
		schools is nominal considering and quantum of nature and quantum of	AV CMC.
		hature and quantum of being provided by the DA	of the
		being provided by the bring the schools contribute 40	% of the
		The schools of their	
	1/2	Basic Pay of Charges	s. The
		administration	to incur
			1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T
		schools are not required	es which
		administrative charges schools are not required any cost for these service Page 2 of 1	

S. No.	Common Observation	Clarification given by DAV	Remarks
N.		leads to a huge saving as an institution and helps us bring uniformity across the institution.	
			A CONTRACTOR OF THE STREET
2.	Payments in	The gratuits and	
	Payments in relation to Gratuity and Leave Encashment	The gratuity and leave encashment benefits of all staff of DAV Schools are administrated/controlled at Head office through common pool fund. All investments of contributions made by the schools are made by Head office in specified government securities and the payment of claims at the time of retirement and leaving the services are settled by head office. Separate school-wise ledger accounts are being maintained at DAV CMC showing liabilities for gratuity and leave encashment. There is no default of delay in settlement of claims.	During the course of hearing, DAV CMC was asked to submit the details of gratuity and leave encashment liabilities of the school as at 31st March, 2016 as per its books of accounts. Accordingly, the DAV CMC has submitted duly certified ledger account of Gratuity and Leave Encashment for each school. It may be noted that: There is no actuary valuation of gratuity and leave encashment liabilities of the school. Liabilities and corresponding investments against gratuity and leave encashment are not reflected in the books of accounts of the school. Also, benefit of interest earned on these investments is not passed on to individual school by DAV CMC.
			Thus, school is hereby directed: To have actuary valuation of its gratuity and leave encashment liabilities; To disclose its liabilities on account of gratuity and leave encashment along with the corresponding amount of investments against that in its financial statements from the financial year 2017-18. Also, wherever the existing provisions for these liabilities are in excess of the liabilities determined by the actuary, the excess amount so provided shall be transferred to general

S. No.	Observation	Clarification given by DAV	Remarks
		leads to a huge saving as an institution and helps us bring uniformity across the institution.	
		N	
		P:	
2.	Payments in	The gratuity and leave	
	relation to Gratuity and Leave Encashment	The gratuity and leave encashment benefits of all staff of DAV Schools are administrated/controlled at Head office through common pool fund. All investments of contributions made by the schools are made by Head office in specified government securities and the payment of claims at the time of retirement and leaving the services are settled by head office. Separate school-wise ledger accounts are being maintained at DAV CMC showing liabilities for gratuity and leave encashment. There is no default of delay in settlement of claims.	During the course of hearing, DAV CMC was asked to submit the details of gratuity and leave encashment liabilities of the school as at 31st March, 2016 as per its books of accounts. Accordingly, the DAV CMC has submitted duly certified ledger account of Gratuity and Leave Encashment for each school. It may be noted that: There is no actuary valuation of gratuity and leave encashment liabilities of the school. Liabilities and corresponding investments against gratuity and leave encashment are not reflected in the books of accounts of the school. Also, benefit of interest earned on these investments is not passed on to individual school by DAV CMC.
			Thus, school is hereby directed: To have actuary valuation of its gratuity and leave encashment liabilities; To disclose its liabilities on account of gratuity and leave encashment along with the corresponding amount of investments against that in its financial statements from the financial year 2017-18. Also, wherever the existing provisions for these liabilities are in excess of the liabilities determined by the actuary, the excess amount so provided shall be transferred to general

S. No.	Common Observation	Clarification given by DAV	Remarks
	Observation		reserve fund of the school. In case, the amount currently provided is lesser than amount determined by the actuary, school shall provide the balance amount in a reasonable and prudent manner ensuring that burden of same is spread over the years and not charged in a single year. To add back the amount of interest, as was earned on gratuity and leave encashment fund by DAV CMC over the years, duly certified by the statutory auditor of the school, in the corresponding investment accounts of the school.
3	Reserve Fund/ Loan with DAVCMC	Some of the schools in order to secure their money deposit with DAV CMC as reserve fund. DAV CMC is paying interest on these deposits @ 8% per annum which is higher than bank FD rates. Out of this reserve fund, loans etc. to the needy schools are arranged and they pay interest on these loans and advances.	As per Order no. DE 15/ Act/ Duggal.Com /203 /99 /23033-23980 dated 15.12.1999 the management is restrained from transferring any amount from the Recognized Unaided School Fund to society or trust or any other institution. Thus, the school is hereby directed strictly to ensure that exact amount of interest is received from the society. This fund is obviously available to the school and calculations have accordingly been made. Reconciliation statement of interest received /receivable should be attached with next year's fee hike proposal.
4	One time fees collection of Rs. 10,000/-		admission for development activity of students. Charging of one-time fees at the time of admission tantamount to capitation fee which is prohibited under section 13 of the Right of Children To Free and Compulsory Education Act,

S. No.	Common Observation	Clarification given by DAV	Remarks
	ODSCI VALION		which ought to be collected on actual basis.
5	Operation of Bank Account in the name of DAVCMC	school maintains three bank	
		At times schools are unable to deposit the cheques sent by DAV CMC in time due to postal delay or shortage of funds and as per the accounting practices such cheques have to be shown as cheques in hand at the close of financial year with corresponding credit to DAV CMC. This is only a book entry and these cheques are subsequently cleared from the fee income transferred by the schools to this bank account.	

The above clarifications of DAV CMC are taken on record.

The submissions made by the school are analyzed in light of above discussion with DAV CMC on common observations and in accordance with the provisions of Delhi School Education Act and Rules, 1973 and directions are issued there-under:-

S. No.	Detail of discrepancy	Submissions of the school	Remarks
No. 1.	The school has been paying a sum equal to 4% of the total basic pay of staff of the school to DAVCMC society, as its administration fees, which aggregated to Rs. 57,07,174 for three years under review viz. 2013-14, 2014-15 and 2015-16. As per Order no. DE 15/ Act/ Duggal.Com /203 /99 /23033-23980 dated 15.12.1999 the management is restrained from transferring any amount from the Recognized Unaided School Fund to society or trust or any other institution.	DAV CMC is collecting administrative charges from the schools under its management for providing administrative support and varied facilities viz. financial audit of schools through audit and accounts section, academic audit, training of Principals, teachers and other staff of the schools through centre for academic Excellence, construction and property management by estate department, legal and	Though practice followed by DAV Schools is not in consonance with DSEA&R, 1973. Keeping in view submission/ justification given by DAV CMC as well as keeping in view the managerial structure of DAV CMC to have a check and balances as well as economy in expenditure, the submissions of DAV CMC are taken on
		taxation services, providing services relating to provident fund, gratuity, leave encashment and EDLI	record.
		etc. In addition, DAV CMC is nominating local management committee, selection committee and building subcommittee for smooth functioning of	
<		the schools. DAV CMC is also organizing science, maths and ICT Olympiads and having a separate education board	
5		for our schools for classes up to VIIIth Standard. The entire administrative control is being dealt at DAV CMC	
		level. All orders relating to postings, transfers, sanctions of purchases, circulars, notifications etc. are issued from DAV	
		CMC, New Delhi. The DAV CMC has full fledged department for assessment and evaluation of schools,	
C.		their principals and staff and based on feedback, necessary steps are being taken by DAV CMC for their improvement.	

		egal assistance to various schools for any legal disputes. DAV CMC has engaged staff for the above services and salaries and other statutory payments of staff are being met from these charges collected from schools is nominal considering the nature and quantum of services being provided by the DAV CMC. The schools contribute 4% of the Basic Pay of their staff as administrative Charges. The schools are not required to incur any cost for these services which leads to a huge saving as an institution and helps us bring uniformity across the institution. The amount was paid as	No supporting was
2,	The school has also paid Rs. 5,00,000 as Donation/ Grant to DAVCMC from Pupil fund. This is contravention of Rule 149 of DSEA & R 1973 which does not allow making donations out of Pupil Fund and as per Order no. DE 15/ Act/ Duggal.Com /203 /99 /23033-23980 dated 15.12.1999 the management is restrained from transferring any amount from the Recognized Unaided School Fund to society or trust or any other institution.	part subscription during FY 2015-16 to defray expenses of holding a function in JLN stadium where school was a participant besides other school.	submitted to substantiate the claim. School is directed to recover the said amount from society within 60 days from the date of issue of this order. Compliance shall be verified at the time of next fee increase proposal of the school, if any.
3.	The development fund has not been treated as capital receipt & has been used for meeting the overall expenditure of the school and Depreciation Reserve Fund has not been maintained. These are contraventions of Clause 14 of Order No. F.DE./15 (56) /Act /2009 / 778 dated 11/02/2009 which states that Development fee, if required to be charged, shall be treated as capital receipt and shall be collected only if the school is maintaining a Depreciation Reserve Fund, equivalent to the depreciation charged in the revenue accounts. The school has collected Rs 5,92,66,790 an account of Development Fee during the period under inspection.	receipts as the school does not have sufficient funds to meet establishment and administrative expenses.	the order dated 11.02.2009, school is not allowed to treat development

			utilised for to stipulated purpos in accordance wi clause 14 of to order date 11.02.2009. Schools not to charge development fee
4.	The school Balance Sheet shows a		unless it comp with directions
	Reserve Balance of Rs. 1,21,00,000 which was created in FY 2012-13 and is not dedicated for any specific purpose Hence, it is should be transferred to General Reserve.	this amount shall be transferred to General	d Accepted by school e School shoul follow proper system accounting while maintaining it books of accounts Compliance shall b verified at the tim of next fee increase
5.	As per the financial statements of the school, the school has collected donation amounting to Rs. 57,15,000 and Rs.50,000 during the FY 2015-16 and FY 2013-14 respectively. Clause 8 of Public Notice dated 04.05.1997 stipulates that no donation to be taken or accepted or made compulsory from the students at the time of admission. The school needs to clearly explain the source of such donations. The following contraventions of Clause	by a donor to DAV CMC for carrying out construction of rooms and other welfare activities. The same was transferred to school by DAV CMC. The receipt for the donation was issued by DAV CMC only.	school. No supporting was enclosed to substantiate the claim. The compliance shall be verified at the time of next fee increase proposal of the school, if any.
×	of Order F.DE./15(56)/Act/2009/779 dated 11/02/2009 have been noted, which says that earmarked levies should be charged on 'no profit- no loss' basis and Rule 176 of DSEA & R 1973. The school has been earning constant surplus from Pupil Fee/ fund collected from the students. The school has earned a surplus of Rs. 90,57,428 from Pupil Fund during the period under inspection and there is an overall accumulated balance of Rs. 4,45,70,391 under Pupil Fund account along with Rs. 3,89,83,836 diverted to school main account which is used for other activities of the school. The School has been earning surplus from Transport Fee collected from the students. The School has earned a surplus of	and other expenses.	the order dated 11.02.2009, school

and FY 2015-16 and there is an overall accumulated funds of Rs. 44,25,256 along under Transport Fund account along with Rs. 13,69,165 diverted to school main account which is used for other activities of the school. The school has not maintained separate funds for the earmarked levies charged from the students except for transport fund and pupil fund. The account showing collection of other earmarked levies are separately by the expenses against such levies are not booked, charged in the relevant account. This practice of accounting does not show as to how much expenses are incurred against earmarked levies. This is contravention of Clause 22 of Order F.DE./15(56)/Act/2009/779 dated 11/02/2009 which says that earmarked levies should be charged on 'no profit no loss' basis and Rule 176 of DSEA & R 1973. The various components of fea as per twith the fee structure and number of students attending the school; though total/ consolidated collection did not show significant variations. Net difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for Fy 2013-14, Fy 2014-15 and Fy 2015-16 respectively. It seems that there are lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as protectively. It seems that there does not have a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as protectively in the school owed amount to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC to the school owed amount to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: Balance of the protection of the school and Manager and the third being DAV CMC balance shool have received the school and Manager and the third being DAV CMC balance would be to maintain a bank account has at end of the year are as follow: Balance of the protection of the school and the third being DAV CMC because school has recei	and EV co.	
44,25,256 along under Transport Fund account along with Rs. 13,69,165 diverted to school main account which is used for other activities of the school. The school has not maintained separate funds for the earmarked levies charged from the students except for transport fund and pupil fund. The account showing collection of other earmarked levies are kept/maintained separately but the expenses against such levies are not booked/ charged in the relevant account. This practice of accounting does not show as to how much expenses are incurred against earmarked levies. This is contravention of Clause 22 of Order F.DE./15(56)/Act/2009/779 dated 11/02/2009 which says that earmarked levies should be charged on 'no profit no loss' basis and Rule 176 of DSEA & R 1973. The various components of fee as per books of accounts do not corroborate with free structure and number of students attending the school; though total/ consolidated collection did not show significant variations. Net difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for FY respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so fa servenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC transfers all the funds collected by it from the students to DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC, Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow:- BACMC Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow:- BACMC Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow:- BACMC Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow:- BACMC Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow:-	Overall 2015-16 and ther	e is an
Fund account along with Rs. 13,69,165 diverted to school. The school has not maintained separate funds for the earmarked levies charged from tstudents except for transport fund and pupil fund. The account showing collection of other earmarked levies charged from the returned against earmarked levies are not booked/charged in the relevant account. This practice of accounting does not show as to how much expenses against such levies are not booked/charged in the relevant account. This practice of accounting does not show as to how much expenses are incurred against earmarked levies. This is contravention of Clause 22 of Order F.DE./15(56)/Act/2009/779 dated 11/02/2009 which says that earmarked levies should be charged on 'no profit- no loss' basis and Rule 176 of DSEA & R. 7. The various components of fee as per books of accounts do not corroborate with the fee structure and number of students attending the school; though total/ consolidate collection did not difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,485 for FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period maintain three bank and the fund are then paid back by DAVCMC to the school owed amount to DAVCMC as a the funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: Bereated to school being of the period of the per		
13,69,165 diverted to school main account which is used for other activities of the school. The school has not maintained separate funds for the earmarked levies charged from the students except for transport fund and pupil fund. The account showing collection of other earmarked levies are kept/maintained separately but the expenses against such levies are not booked/ charged in the relevant account. This practice of accounting does not show as to how much expenses are incurred against earmarked levies. This is contravention of Clause 22 of Order F.DE./15(56)/Act/2009/779 dated 11/02/2009 which says that earmarked levies should be charged on 'no profit- no loss' basis and Rule 176 of DSEA & R 1973. The various components of fee as per books of accounts do not corroborate with the fee structure and number of students attending the school; thought total/ consolidated collection did not show significant variations. Net difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for FY respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has regulated by head of the Count with being DAV CMC abance school has found in the bind back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has reduced by its portion of the students to DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC to the school with the paid to the school with t		
main account which is used for other activities of the school. The school has not maintained separate funds for the earmarked levies charged from the students except for transport fund and pupil fund. The account showing collection of other earmarked levies are kept/maintained separately but the expenses against such levies are not booked/ charged in the relevant account. This practice of accounting does not show as to how much expenses are incurred against earmarked levies. This is contravention of Clause 22 of Order F.DE./15/56)/Act/2009/779 dated 11/02/2009 which says that earmarked levies should be charged on 'no profit- no loss' basis and Rule 176 of DSEA & R 1973. The various components of fee as per books of accounts do not corrobarte with the fee structure and number of students attending the school; though total/ consolidated collection did not show significant variations. Net difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: DAVCMC, Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: DAVCMC, Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: DAVCMC, Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: DAVCMC, Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow:	rund account along wit	th Rs
other activities of the school. The school has not maintained separate funds for the earmarked levies charged from the students except for transport fund and pupil fund. The account showing collection of other earmarked levies are kept/maintained separately but the expenses against such levies are not booked/ charged in the relevant account. This practice of accounting does not show as to how much expenses are incurred against earmarked levies. This is contravention of Clause 22 of Order F.DE./15(56)/Act/2009/779 dated 11/02/2009 which says that earmarked levies should be charged on 'no profit- no loss' basis and Rule 176 of DSEA & R. 1973. The various components of fee as per books of accounts do not corroborate with the fee structure and number of students attending the school; though total/ consolidated collection did not show significant variations. Net difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for FY respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC thes cause school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: The school was a period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: The school was a period of the year are as follow: The fund are then period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: The fund are then period of the year and the third being DAV CMC scause school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as		
The school has not maintained separate funds for the earmarked levies charged from the students except for transport fund and pupil fund. The acrount showing collection of other earmarked levies are kept/maintained separately but the expenses against such levies are not booked/ charged in the relevant account. This practice of accounting does not show as to how much expenses are incurred against earmarked levies. This is contravention of Clause 22 of Order F.DE./15(56)/Act/2009/779 dated 11/02/2009 which says that earmarked levies should be charged on 'no profit- no loss' basis and Rule 176 of DSEA & R 7. The various components of fee as perbooks of accounts do not corroborate with the fee structure and number of students attending the school; though total/ consolidated collection did not show significant variations. Net difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 11,03,465 for FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC transfers all the funds collected by it from the students to DAVCMC; the school. However, as on Balance hack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance had been the paid back by DAVCMC to Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC. Balance sowed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: DAVCMC because school has received by the Management of DAVCMC as at end of		
separate funds for the earmarked levies charged from the students except for transport fund and pupil fund. The account showing collection of other earmarked levies are kept/maintained separately but the expenses against such levies are not booked/ charged in the relevant account. This practice of accounting does not show as to how much expenses are incurred against earmarked levies. This is contravention of Clause 22 of Order F.DE./15(56)/Act/2009/779 dated 1/02/2009 which says that earmarked levies should be charged on 'no profit- no loss' basis and Rule 176 of DSEA & R. 7. The various components of fee as per books of accounts do not corroborate with the fee structure and number of students attending the school; though total/ consolidated collection did not show significant variations. Net difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for Fy respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fersectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fersectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fersectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fersectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fersectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fersectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fersectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fersectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fersectively. It is seems that there bank accounts viz. School Account and Pupil Find Account with the feet of the school, However, as on Balance had been different to the school and Manager and the thir		
levies charged from the students except for transport fund and pupil fund. The account showing collection of other earmarked levies are kept/maintained separately but the expenses against such levies are not booked/ charged in the relevant account. This practice of accounting does not show as to how much expenses are incurred against earmarked levies. This is contravention of Clause 22 of Order F.DE./15(56)/Act/2009/779 dated 11/02/2009 which says that earmarked levies should be charged on 'no profit- no loss' basis and Rule 176 of DSEA & R. 1973. The various components of fee as per books of accounts do not corroborate with the fee structure and number of students attending the school; though total/ consolidated collection did not show significant variations. Net difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned, as per the directions of DAVCMC transfers all the funds collected by it from the students to DAVCMC, the fund are then paid back by DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance hoth being fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC the school of DAVCMC the dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to at end of the year are as follow: Ball the funds collected by it from the students to DAVCMC to and the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC. Balance lowed to DAVCMC as a per the directions of DAVCMC as a per the direction of the school, the direction of the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC. Balance lowed to DAVCMC as a provided the condition of the school, showed is at end of the year are as follow:	SCHOOL has not	
except for transport fund and pupil fund. The account showing collection of other earmarked levies are kept/maintained separately but the expenses against such levies are not booked/ charged in the relevant account. This practice of accounting does not show as to how much expenses are incurred against earmarked levies. This is contravention of Clause 22 of Order F.DE./15(56)/Act/2009/779 dated 11/02/2009 which says that earmarked levies should be charged on ho profit- no loss' basis and Rule 176 of DSEA & R 1973. 7. The various components of fee as per books of accounts do not corroborate with the fee structure and number of students attending the school; though total/ consolidated collection did not show significant variations. Net difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC transfers all the funds collected by it from the students to DAVCMC; the fund are then paid back by DAVCMC; the fund are then paid back by DAVCMC; the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under veive, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: Bereat of the managing committee. The third being bave to maintain a bank account in the name of 'DAVCMC because school has received bears of the Managing Committee. The third being bave to maintain a bank account in the name of 'DAVCMC because school has received by office bearers of the Managing Committee. The third being bave to maintain a bank account in the name of 'DAVCMC because school has received by office bearers of the Managing Committee. The third being bave to maintain a bank account in the name of 'DAVCMC because school has received by the part		
pupil fund. The account showing collection of other earmarked levies are kept/maintained separately but the expenses against such levies are not booked/ charged in the relevant account. This practice of accounting does not show as to how much expenses are incurred against earmarked levies. This is contravention of Clause 22 of Order F.DE./15(56)/Act/2009/779 dated 11/02/2009 which says that earmarked levies should be charged on 'no profit- no loss' basis and Rule 176 of DSEA & R 1973. 7. The various components of fee as per books of accounts do not corroborate with the fee structure and number of students attending the school; though total/ consolidated collection did not show significant variations. Net difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under veive, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC at each of the school and than ager and the third being DAV CMC at each of the school and than ager and the third being DAV CMC at the school stand what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC at each of the Managing Committee. The third being DAV CMC at each of the year are as follow:		
collection of other earmarked levies are kept/maintained separately but the expenses are not booked/ charged in the relevant account. This practice of accounting does not show as to how much expenses are incurred against earmarked levies. This is contravention of Clause 22 of Order F.DE./15(56)/Act/2009/779 dated 11/02/2009 which says that earmarked levies should be charged on 'no profit- no loss' basis and Rule 176 of DSEA & R 1973. 7. The various components of fee as perbooks of accounts do not corroborate with the fee structure and number of students attending the school; though total/ consolidated collection did not show significant variations. Net difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC transfers all the funds collected by it from the students to DAVCMC; the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under eview, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC, Balance owed to DAVCMC at at end of the year are as follow: As a end of the year are as follow: As end of the year are as follow: The properties of accounts and pupil Fundament of the school and Manager and the third being DAV CMC account which is operated by defice beares of the Managing Committee. The third abank account in the name of 'DAVCMC and at end of the year are as follow: The properties of the meday and the properties of the Managing Committee. The third abank account in the name of 'DAVCMC and and the properties of the Managing Committee. The third abank account in the name of 'DAVCMC and and the properties of the Managing Committee. The third abank account in the name of 'DAVCMC and and the properties of the Managing Committee. The third and the name of 'DAVC		
levies are kept/maintained separately but the expenses against such levies are not booked/ charged in the relevant account. This practice of accounting does not show as to how much expenses are incurred against earmarked levies. This is contravention of Clause 22 of Order F.DE./15(56)/Act/2009/779 dated 11/02/2009 which says that earmarked levies should be charged on 'no profit- no loss' basis and Rule 176 of DSEA & R 1973. 7. The various components of fee as per books of accounts do not corroborate with the fee structure and number of students attending the school; though total/ consolidated collection did not show significant variations. Net difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC; the fund are then paid back by DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under veive, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC at at end of the year are as follow: As a end of the year are as follow: Bellowate of the period under concerned. As per the financial scheme each school and pupil shade of the school and Manager and the third being DAV CMC at the funds of the school and Manager and the third being DAV CMC and the third being DAV CMC at the funds of the period under the pupil to the school scheme of granting of recognition to the school at end of the year are as follow: Bellowate of the pupil to	pupil fund. The account fund	and and
separately but the expenses against such levies are not booked/ charged in the relevant account. This practice of accounting does not show as to how much expenses are incurred against earmarked levies. This is contravention of Clause 22 of Order F.DE./15(56)/Act/2009/779 dated 11/02/2009 which says that earmarked levies should be charged on 'no profit- no loss' basis and Rule 176 of DSEA & R 1973. 7. The various components of fee as per books of accounts do not corroborate with the fee structure and number of students attending the school; though total/ consolidated collection did not show significant variations. Net difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: As end of the year are as follow: The various components of ea sper the says that earmarked levies. This is contravention of SEA & R 1973. These small differences are due to concessions given to the needy students during the year. These small differences of under the needy students during the year. These small differences are due to concessions given to the needy students during the year. As per the financial scheme each school maintain a scheme each school ma	collection of other	owing
separately but the expenses against such levies are not booked/ charged in the relevant account. This practice of accounting does not show as to how much expenses are incurred against earmarked levies. This is contravention of Clause 22 of Order F.DE./15(56)/Act/2009/779 dated 11/02/2009 which says that earmarked levies should be charged on 'no profit no loss' basis and Rule 176 of DSEA & R 1973. 7. The various components of fee as per books of accounts do not corroborate with the fee structure and number of students attending the school; though total/ consolidated collection did not show significant variations. Net difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: As end of the year are as follow: The various components of fee as per dude to concessions given to the needy students during the year. These small differences are due to concessions given to the needy students during the year. These small differences of under to concessions given to the needy students during the year. These small differences are due to concessions given to the needy students during the year. As part the firections of DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance of the firection of the school o	levies are kent earm.	arked
booked/ charged in the relevant account. This practice of accounting does not show as to how much expenses are incurred against earmarked levies. This is contravention of Clause 22 of Order F.DE,/15(56)/Act/2009/779 dated 11/02/2009 which says that earmarked levies should be charged on 'no profit- no loss' basis and Rule 176 of DSEA & R 1973. 7. The various components of fee as per books of accounts do not corroborate with the fee structure and number of students attending the school; though total/ consolidated collection did not show significant variations. Net difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC the School. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: As end the financial scheme each school mintorious viz. School mintains three bank accounts viz. School mintains three bank accounts viz. School mintains three bank accounts viz. School as approved the back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: Balcok of internal control while booking fee under funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: Balcok of internal control while booking fee under funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: Balcok of the financial scheme each school mintorious viz. School mintains three bank accounts viz. School mintains three bank accounts viz. School mintains account with being operated by the dof the school, school is allowed to maintain a bank account in the name of 'DAVCMC bears	separately but kept/maint	ained
booked/ charged in the relevant account. This practice of accounting does not show as to how much expenses are incurred against earmarked levies. This is contravention of Clause 22 of Order F.DE,/15(56)/Act/2009/779 dated 11/02/2009 which says that earmarked levies should be charged on 'no profit- no loss' basis and Rule 176 of DSEA & R 1973. 7. The various components of fee as per books of accounts do not corroborate with the fee structure and number of students attending the school; though total/ consolidated collection did not show significant variations. Net difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC the School. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: As end the financial scheme each school mintorious viz. School mintains three bank accounts viz. School mintains three bank accounts viz. School mintains three bank accounts viz. School as approved the back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: Balcok of internal control while booking fee under funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: Balcok of internal control while booking fee under funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: Balcok of the financial scheme each school mintorious viz. School mintains three bank accounts viz. School mintains three bank accounts viz. School mintains account with being operated by the dof the school, school is allowed to maintain a bank account in the name of 'DAVCMC bears	against such the expe	enses
account. This practice of accounting does not show as to how much expenses are incurred against earmarked levies. This is contravention of Clause 22 of Order F.DE./15(56)/Act/2009/779 dated 11/02/2009 which says that earmarked levies should be charged on 'no profit- no loss' basis and Rule 176 of DSEA & R 1973. 7. The various components of fee as per books of accounts do not corroborate with the fee structure and number of students attending the school; though total/ consolidated collection did not show significant variations. Net difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: - These small differences are due to concessions given to the needy students during the year. These small differences are due to concessions given to the needy students during the year. - These small differences are due to concessions given to the needy students during the year. - These small differences are due to concessions given to the needy students during the year. - These small differences are due to concessions given to the needy students during the year. - These small differences are due to concessions given to the needy students during the year. - These small differences are due to concessions given to the needy students during the year. - The same the financial second with the near school is account with the paid to the school and manager and the third being being the provided to maintain a bank account which is open the school is a bank account in the name of 'DAVCMC committee. The thi		
accounting does not show as to how much expenses are incurred against earmarked levies. This is contravention of Clause 22 of Order F.DE./15(56)/Act/2009/779 dated 11/02/2009 which says that earmarked levies should be charged on 'no profit- no loss' basis and Rule 176 of DSEA & R 1973. 7. The various components of fee as perbooks of accounts do not corroborate with the fee structure and number of students attending the school; though total/ consolidated collection did not show significant variations. Net difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC transfers all the funds collected by it from the students to DAVCMC; the fund are then paid back by DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: As per the financial scheme each school maintains three bank accounts viz. School Account and Pupil Fund Account, both being operated by Head of the School and Manager and the third being DAV CMC account, both being operated by Head of the School of the School was at end of the year are as follow: By office the financial scheme each school was proved to the school was developed by DAVCMC account, both being operated by Head of the School and Manager and the third being DAV CMC account, both being operated by Grace account which is operated by Grace and the third being DAV CMC account which is operated by Grace and the third being DAV CMC account and pupil Fund account which is operated by Grace and the third being DAV CMC account which is operated by Grace and the third being DAV CMC account which is operated by Grace an	account charged in the rele	evant
against earmarked levies. This is contravention of Clause 22 of Order F.DE./15(56)/Act/2009/779 dated 11/02/2009 which says that earmarked levies should be charged on 'no profit- no loss' basis and Rule 176 of DSEA & R 1973. 7. The various components of fee as per books of accounts do not corroborate with the fee structure and number of students attending the school; though total/ consolidated collection did not show significant variations. Net difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: As per the financial school is accepted, on of the needy students during the year. As per the financial school is accepted, in view of the maintains three bank accounts viz. School school, as approved by the DoE at the time of granting of recognition to the School and Manager and the third being DAV CMC bacause school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: Balance of DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: Balance of JAVCMC as at each of the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: Balance of JAVCMC as at the funds collected by office because in the funds and provided to maintain a bank account in the name of 'DAVCMC looks at the funds and provided to maintain a bank account in the funds and provided to maintain a bank account in the funds and provided to mai		
against earmarked levies. This is contravention of Clause 22 of Order F.DE./15(56)/Act/2009/779 dated 11/02/2009 which says that earmarked levies should be charged on 'no profit- no loss' basis and Rule 176 of DSEA & R 1973. 7. The various components of fee as per books of accounts do not corroborate with the fee structure and number of students attending the school; though total/ consolidated collection did not show significant variations. Net difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: As per the financial school is accepted, on of the needy students during the year. As per the financial school is accepted, in view of the maintains three bank accounts viz. School school, as approved by the DoE at the time of granting of recognition to the School and Manager and the third being DAV CMC bacause school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: Balance of DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: Balance of JAVCMC as at each of the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: Balance of JAVCMC as at the funds collected by office because in the funds and provided to maintain a bank account in the name of 'DAVCMC looks at the funds and provided to maintain a bank account in the funds and provided to maintain a bank account in the funds and provided to mai	how much allow a	as to
Contravention of Clause 22 of Order F.DE./15(56)/Act/2009/779 dated 11/02/2009 which says that earmarked levies should be charged on 'no profit- no loss' basis and Rule 176 of DSEA & R 7. The various components of fee as per books of accounts do not corroborate with the fee structure and number of students attending the school; though total/ consolidated collection did not show significant variations. Net difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC transfers all the funds collected by it from the students to DAVCMC; the fund are then paid back by DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: As a more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: As a more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: As a more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: As a more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: As per the financial differences are due to concessions given to the needy school is accepted considering the end to concessions given to the needy school is accepted are due to concessions given to the needy school is accepted are due to concessions given to the needy school is accepted are due to concessions given to the needy school is accepted are due to concessions given to the needy school is accepted are due to concessions given to the needy school is accepted are due to concessions given to the nee		
Order F.DE./15(56)/Act/2009/779 dated 11/02/2009 which says that earmarked levies should be charged on 'no profit- no loss' basis and Rule 175 of DSEA & R 1973. 7. The various components of fee as per with the fee structure and number of students attending the school; though total/ consolidated collection did not show significant variations. Net difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC transfers all the funds collected by it from the students to DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: Order These small differences are due to concessions school is accepted considering the non-materiality of the non		
dated 11/02/2009 which says that earmarked levies should be charged on 'no profit- no loss' basis and Rule 176 of DSEA & R 1973. 7. The various components of fee as per books of accounts do not corroborate with the fee structure and number of students attending the school; though total/ consolidated collection did not show significant variations. Net difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC transfers all the funds collected by it from the students to DAVCMC; the fund are then paid back by DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: As a matter of old practice, the school, back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: Britanian differences are due to concessions given to the needy students during the year. These small differences are due to concessions given to the needy students during the year. These small differences are due to concessions given to the needy students during the year. These small differences are due to concessions given to the needy students during the year. These small differences are due to concessions given to the needy students during the year. As a matter of old practice, the school, as aperted on school in a factor of the financial sc		2 of
that earmarked levies should be charged on 'no profit- no loss' basis and Rule 176 of DSEA & R 1973. 7. The various components of fee as per books of accounts do not corroborate with the fee structure and number of students attending the school; though total/ consolidated collection did not show significant variations. Net difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC transfers all the funds collected by it found are then paid back by DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: As a matter of old practice, the school, as approved by the DoE at the time of granting of recognition to the School and Manager and the third being DAV CMC account which is operated by Head of the School is allowed to mon-materiality of the name of 'DAV College Management' of the school, as approved by the DoE at the time of granting of recognition to the School and Manager and the third being DAV CMC account which is operated by office bearers of the Managing Committee. The third Management was at end of the year are as follow:		
that earmarked levies should be charged on 'no profit- no loss' basis and Rule 176 of DSEA & R 1973. 7. The various components of fee as per books of accounts do not corroborate with the fee structure and number of students attending the school; though total/ consolidated collection did not show significant variations. Net difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC transfers all the funds collected by it from the students to DAVCMC; the fund are then paid back by DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: As per the financial scheme each school maintains three bank accounts viz. School Account and Pupil Fund Account, both being operated by Head of the School and Manager and the third being DAV CMC account which is operated by office bearers of the Managing Committee. The third and bank account in the name of 'DAV College Management'	F.DE./15(56)/Act/2009/779	
charged on 'no profit- no loss' basis and Rule 176 of DSEA & R 1973. 7. The various components of fee as per books of accounts do not corroborate with the fee structure and number of students attending the school; though total/ consolidated collection did not show significant variations. Net difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC transfers all the funds collected by it from the students to DAVCMC; the fund are then paid back by DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: As per the financial each school is accepted considering the non-materiality of the during the year. As per the financial each school is accepted considering the non-materiality of the mon-materiality of the mon-materialit	11/11// /////	Save
Dasis and Rule 176 of DSEA & R 1973. 7. The various components of fee as per books of accounts do not corroborate with the fee structure and number of students attending the school; though total/ consolidated collection did not show significant variations. Net difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC transfers all the funds collected by it from the students to DAVCMC; the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: As a matter of old practice, the school, school is accepted students during the year. These small differences are due to concessions given to the needy students during the year. These small differences are due to concessions given to the needy students during the year. These small differences are due to concessions given to the needy students during the year. These small differences are due to concessions given to the needy students during the year. These small differences are due to concessions given to the needy students during the year. The variations. Net due to concessions given to the needy students during the year. The due to concessions are due to concessions given to the needy students during the year. The due to concessions are due to concessions given to the needy students during the year. Accepted, in view of 'Scheme each school maintains three bank accounts viz. School Account and Pupil Fund Account, both being operated by Head of the School and Manager and the third being DAV CMC is allowed to maintain a bank account in the name of 'DAV CMC because school has received by office the f		
7. The various components of fee as per books of accounts do not corroborate with the fee structure and number of students attending the school; though total/ consolidated collection did not show significant variations. Net difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC transfers all the funds collected by it from the students to DAVCMC; the fund are then paid back by DAVCMC to school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: These small differences are due to concessions school is accepted students during the year. These small differences are due to concessions school is accepted on the needy students during the year. These small differences are due to concessions school is accepted on the needy students during the year. These small differences are due to concessions school is accepted on the needy students during the year. These small differences are due to concessions school is accepted on the needy students during the year. These small differences are due to concessions school is accepted on the needy students during the year. These small differences are due to concessions school is accepted on the needy students during the year. These small differences are due to concessions school is accepted on the non-materiality of the n		
The various components of fee as per books of accounts do not corroborate with the fee structure and number of students attending the school; though total/ consolidated collection did not show significant variations. Net difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC the students to DAVCMC; the fund are then paid back by DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: These small differences are due to concessions given to the needy students during the year. These small differences are due to concessions given to the needy students during the year. These small differences are due to concessions given to the needy students during the year. The warious components of ere due to concessions given to the needy students during the year. As per the financial scheme each school maintains three bank accounts viz. School account, both being operated by Head of the School and Manager and the third being DAV CMC account which is operated by office bearers of the Managing Committee. The third Management		8 D
books of accounts do not corroborate with the fee structure and number of students attending the school; though total/ consolidated collection did not show significant variations. Net difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is are under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is are due to concessions given to the needy students during the year. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC transfers all the funds collected by it from the students to DAVCMC; the fund are then paid back by DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: These small differences are due to concessions given to the needy students during the year. These small differences are due to concessions given to the needy students during the year. As per the financial each school maintains three bank accounts viz. School account and Pupil Fund Account, both being operated by Head of the School and Manager and the third being DAV CMC account which is a bank account in the name of 'DAV College Management account in the name of 'DAV College Management account in the name of 'DAV College Management are due to concessions given to the needy students during the year. These small differences are due to concessions given to the needy students during the year. These small differences are due to concessions given to the needy students during the year. Accepted, in view of 'School account will be being bank account will be being DAV CMC account which is a bank account in the name of 'DAV College Management account will be a proving the poping to the tidents during the year. These small d		
with the fee structure and number of students attending the school; though total/ consolidated collection did not show significant variations. Net difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: With the fee structure and number of students during the year. Seriod is accepted school school, and the year. As per the financial scheme each school maintains three bank accounts viz. School and Pupil Fund Account, both being operated by Head of the School and Manager and the third being DAV CMC account which is operated by office bearers of the Managing Committee. The third account in the name of 'DAV College Management's students during the year. School is accepted considering the vear. School is accepted school in the needy students during the year. School is accepted to the needy students during the year. School is accepted to the needy students during the year. School is accepted school in the non-materiality of the non-	Turious components	nor The
students attending the school; though total/ consolidated collection did not show significant variations. Net difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC transfers all the funds collected by it from the students to DAVCMC; the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: students attending the school; though students during the year. school is accepted considering the non-materiality of the students during the year. school is accepted students during the year. school is accepted considering the non-materiality of the find non-materiality of the school in amount involved. As per the financial each school maintain account viz. School and Pupil Fund Account, both being operated by Head of the School and Manager and the third being DAV CMC account which is operated by office bearers of the Managing Committee. The third bank account in the name of 'DAV College Management' account and Pupil Fund Account which is operated by office bearers of the Managing Committee. The third account in the name of 'DAV College Management' account which is operated by office bearers of the Managing Committee. The third account in the name of 'DAV College Management' account which is operated by the part of the school and management and the name of 'DAV College Management' account in the name of 'DAV College Management' account and Pupil Fund Account which is operated by dead of the school and management and the part of the part of the school and management and the part of the part of the school and the part of the part of the part of the part	with the accounts do not corrobor.	ate are small differences Contention
total/ consolidated collection did not show significant variations. Net difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC transfers all the funds collected by it from the students to DAVCMC; the fund are then paid back by DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: As per the financial scheme each school maintains three bank accounts viz. School Account and Pupil Fund Account, both being operated by Head of the School and Manager and the third being DAV CMC as at end of the year are as follow: As per the financial scheme each school maintains three bank accounts viz. School Account and Pupil Fund Account, both being operated by Head of the School and Manager and the third being DAV CMC as at end of the year are as follow: DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: DAVCMC Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: DAVCMC Balance owed to DAVCMC because school has received by Grant and Pupil Fund Account, both being operated by Head of the school and Manager and the third being DAV CMC as allowed to maintain a bank account in the name of 'DAV College Management' of the school and Manager and the third being DAV CMC because school has received by office bearers of the Managing Committee. The third Management and the third being DAV CMC of the part of the school and manager and the third being DAV CMC bearers of the Managing Committee.	with the fee structure and number	of are due to concessions school is
show significant variations. Net difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC transfers all the funds collected by it from the students to DAVCMC; the fund are then paid back by DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: As per the financial scheme each school maintains three bank accounts viz. School Account and Pupil Fund Account, both being operated by Head of the School and Manager and the third being DAV CMC account which is operated by office bearers of the Managing Committee. The third amount involved. Accepted, in view of 'Scheme of Management' of the school, as approved by the DoE at the third being DAV CMC account which is operated by office bearers of the Managing Committee. The third Management	students attending the school: thou	of given to the needy considering
difference (variation) was Rs. 11, 202, Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC transfers all the funds collected by it from the students to DAVCMC; the fund are then paid back by DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: The fund are then paid back by DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: The fund are then paid back by DAVCMC to the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received by Head of the School and Manager and the third being DAV CMC account which is operated by office bearers of the Managing Committee. The third about the involved. The fund are then paid back by DAVCMC to the school, as approved by the DoE at the time of granting of recognition to the school, school is allowed to maintain a bank account in the name of 'DAV CMC and the third being DAV CMC and the		students during the year. non-materiality
Rs. 49,085 and Rs. 1,03,465 for FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: As per the financial scheme each school maintains three bank accounts viz. School maintains three bank account and Pupil Fund Account, both being operated by Head of the School and Manager and the third being DAV CMC account which is operated by office bearers of the Managing Committee. The third	snow significant variations	the the
2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC transfers all the funds collected by it from the students to DAVCMC; the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: As per the financial scheme each school is accounts viz. School and management of the school, as approved by the DoE at the time of granting of recognition to the school and Manager and the third being DAV CMC account which is operated by office bearers of the Managing Committee. The third Management		QIII()IIIIF I
respectively. It seems that there is a lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is concerned. 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC transfers all the funds collected by it from the students to DAVCMC; the fund are then paid back by DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: As per the financial scheme each school is daccounts viz. School Account and Pupil Fund Account, both being operated by Head of the School and Manager and the third being DAV CMC account which is operated by office bearers of the Managing Committee. The third Management	RS. 49,085 and Rs. 1.03 465 for	z, mvorved.
lack of internal control while booking fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC transfers all the funds collected by it from the students to DAVCMC; the fund are then paid back by DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: As per the financial scheme each school maintains three bank accounts viz. School Account and Pupil Fund Account, both being operated by Head of the School and Manager and the third being DAV CMC account which is operated by office bearers of the Managing Committee. The third Management	2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015	
fee under different heads on Fee Income so far as revenue protection is 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC transfers all the funds collected by it from the students to DAVCMC; the fund are then paid back by DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: As per the financial scheme each school maintains three bank accounts viz. School Account and Pupil Fund Account, both being operated by Head of the School and Manager and the third being DAV CMC account which is operated by office bearers of the Managing Committee. The third	respectively. It seems that there	16
Income so far as revenue protection is 8. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC transfers all the funds collected by it from the students to DAVCMC; the fund are then paid back by DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: As per the financial scheme each school maintains three bank accounts viz. School Account and Pupil Fund Account, both being operated by Head of the School and Manager and the third being DAV CMC account which is operated by office bearers of the Managing Committee. The third Management	lack of internal control while hards	a
Solutions of concerned. As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC transfers all the funds collected by it from the students to DAVCMC; the fund are then paid back by DAVCMC to Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: As per the financial scheme each school maintains three bank accounts viz. School Account and Pupil Fund Account, both being operated by Head of the School and Manager and the third being DAV CMC account which is operated by office bearers of the Managing Committee. The third Management	fee under different heads	19
As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC transfers all the funds collected by it from the students to DAVCMC; the fund are then paid back by DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow:- As per the financial scheme each school maintains three bank accounts viz. School Account and Pupil Fund Account, both being operated by Head of the School and Manager and the third being DAV CMC account which is operated by office bearers of the Managing Committee. The third Management		e
As a matter of old practice, the school, as per the directions of DAVCMC transfers all the funds collected by it from the students to DAVCMC; the fund are then paid back by DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow:- As per the financial scheme each school maintains three bank accounts viz. School Account and Pupil Fund Account, both being operated by Head of the School and Manager and the third being DAV CMC account which is operated by office bearers of the Managing Committee. The third Management	concerned.	is
transfers all the funds collected by it from the students to DAVCMC; the fund are then paid back by DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: Scheme each school is maintains three bank accounts viz. School Account and Pupil Fund Account, both being operated by Head of the School and Manager and the third being DAV CMC account which is operated by office bearers of the Managing Committee. The third Management	8. As a matter of old practice of	
transfers all the funds collected by it from the students to DAVCMC; the fund are then paid back by DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: Scheme each school is maintains three bank accounts viz. School Account and Pupil Fund Account, both being operated by Head of the School and Manager and the third being DAV CMC account which is operated by office bearers of the Managing Committee. The third Management	as per the directions, the school	As per the financial
from the students to DAVCMC; the fund are then paid back by DAVCMC to the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow:- I maintains three bank accounts viz. School Account and Pupil Fund Account, both being operated by Head of the School and Manager and the third being DAV CMC account which is operated by office bearers of the Managing Committee. The third Management	transfers all the funds of DAVCMO	Scheme each Accepted, in view of
fund are then paid back by DAVCMC; the the school. However, as on Balance review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow:- Management' of the school, as approved by the DoE at the time of granting of recognition to the school, school is allowed to maintain a bank account in the name of 'DAV College Management'.	from the students collected by it	t maintains the school scheme
the school. However, as on Balance Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: Account and Pupil Fund Account, both being operated by Head of the School and Manager and the third being DAV CMC account which is operated by office bearers of the Managing Committee. The third Management	fund are then paid had back the	accounts viz Counts Management' of the
Sheet dates for the period under review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow:- Account, both being of recognition to the school, school is allowed to maintain a bank account in the name of 'DAV College Management.	the school Haman back by DAVCMC to	Account and D School, as approved
review, the school owed amount to DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow:- operated by Head of the School and Manager and the third being DAV CMC account which is operated by office bearers of the Managing Committee. The third Management	Sheet dates for as on Balance	Account have fund by the DoF at the
DAVCMC because school has received back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow: School and Manager and the third being DAV CMC account which is operated by office bearers of the Managing Committee. The third account the name of 'DAV College Management'	review the set the period under	operated by the being time of granting of
back more funds than what it paid to DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow:- the third being DAV CMC account which is operated by office bearers of the Managing Committee. The third data account in the name of 'DAV College Management account account the name of 'DAV College Management account the na	DAVCMC because owed amount to	School and Man the recognition to the
DAVCMC. Balance owed to DAVCMC as at end of the year are as follow:- at end of the year are as follow:- account which is operated by office bearers of the Managing Committee. The third allowed to maintain a bank account in the name of 'DAV College Management	back more final school has received	the third hairs and school, school is
at end of the year are as follow:- operated by office bearers of the Managing Committee. The third Management	DAVENCE Punds than what it paid to	account Deing DAV CMC allowed to maintain
bearers of the Managing Committee. The third Management	at and a stance owed to DAVCMC as	IS a hank account !
Committee. The third Management	at end of the year are as follow:	
account The third Management	, onow,-	bearers of the Managing College of DAV
account		Committee. The third Man
ligo heen Communication		account has been landgement.
maintained with Deeli Committee'. The		maintained with been Committee. The
Page 9 of 16	Page	

		2014-15	2013-14		CONTROL OVEL LITE SCHOOL	the office bearers
rticul	2015-16				The schools are required	of the DAV College
lance	4,45,25,54	1,96,33,	20,38,26	(G	to transfer all fees	Management
yable	7	031	0	- 7	collections and other	Committee.
DAV MC at					revenues to this account	
ear end		-	12,54,23		and the expenditure of	1
alance	11,30,824	14,16,76	8		the school is recouped	
Bidaya		15		1	from this account after	
DAV		1			from this account dies	
П					they are approved by	
ivision		1		_	DAV CMC. All schools	
					have to submit	
					expenditure statements	
				-11-	documentary	
chool i	is require	d to prov	ide a deta	allec	I Heard Office	
larifica	tion in I	regard u	o the a	DOVE	who after examining	
rancac	tions with	DAV CM	C.		these allow the expenses	
disac	CIONS THICH				to be withdrawn from	
					to be withdrawn from	
					this account. The schools	
					have to justify the	
			×.		expenditure vis.a.vis.	1 To
					budgets which are	
					submitted by the schools	
					before the start of the	
					financial year. This	
			T 7		scheme of management	
	10				has been approved by	
					Directorate of Education.	
				38	Directorate of Educations	
	70				At times schools are	
			192		unable to deposit the	/
					cheques sent by DAV	
					CMC in time due to	
				- 1	postal delay or shortage	e
					of funds and as per th	e
					accounting practice	S
					such cheques have to b	e
					shown as cheques i	n
					hand at the close of	
					financial year wit	h
	N. 2	1				
				4	corresponding credit	
	51*				DAV CMC. This is only	a
					book entry and thes	se
					chaques	re
			4		subsequently cleare	ed
		2			from the fee incom	ne
					transferred by t	he
						nk
-					30110010	
					account.	om Specific purpose
	1.1 - M	annelal e	tatement	s of	the The loan was taken from	
As p	per the fir	nancial S	taken le	an f	rom Society to meet t	the the loan is
	al the co	thool has	Laken	all I	requirements of fund	ds. mentioned by
C 507	CNAC but	the nur	nose of	ne	loan roganion be	en school. Further, th
DAV	CIVIC DU	t the pu	pose .		. of This loan has since De	ell School Farence
- Lorenza	not bee	n checiti	pose of ted. Sum	Hai	, 01	school is payir interest on loan

the amount outstanding as loan and

interest provided is as follow:

school is paying interest on loan to

the society which

tantamount transfer of funds.

	Particulars	2013-	2014-15	2015-16	2	
	Loan taken by School from DAV CMC (Outstanding amount	24,28, 356	11,39,408			
	at year end) Interest provided during the year	2,17,0	2,11,052	47,956	- 2	
	Payment of amounts to the school for Order No. /203 /99 15.12.1999. provide a de to the abov CMC.	payme unds wh DE 15/ /230 School tailed cl	nt to Socialish to Socialish is registered in the social state of	tricted by ggal.Com dated puired to in regard		
.0.	The Fixed Balance She stated at an is not mai (Cost) of 'deprecation basis (Depre any scrappe are treate Correspondi not happen.	et/ Boo inflate ntaining assets charg eciation ed asset ed as ng redu	oks of acc d value. T g the gro s and ge' on c Block). C c, the sale Misc. uction in a	ounts are the school oss block of umulative on sale of proceeds Income.	accounted for at the purchase price and are shown in the books of accounts at depreciated value.	School is directed to maintain proper books of accounts in accordance with ICAI pronouncements for presentation of purchase of fixed assets and sale of assets.
.1.	As per the financial statements of the school, the school has incurred expenditure on construction of building from the school funds. Rs. 53,16,191 in FY 2015-16 and Rs. 31,11,534 in FY 2013-14 has been spend on construction of building and the school has earned a surplus of Rs. 1,08,01,821 and Rs. 59,73,631 during FY 2015-16 and 2013-14 respectively. It seems that the school is increasing				Rs. 53,16,191 was spent in 2015-16 on renovation of rooms in the building for children of special need out of the funds provided DAV CMC as mentioned in above point. Further, Rs. 31,11,534 spent during 2013-14 was not spent towards main school	No supporting document enclosed to substantiate the claim. Compliance shall be verified at the time of next fee increase proposal of the school, if any.
	fee constantly and is incurring Capital expenditure on building construction from accumulated funds and such increased fee is un-necessary financial burden for the parents.			onstruction and , such y financial	out of pupil fund.	Further, earmarked levies charged from the students should be utilised for the purposes for which these were collected. Compliance shall be verified at the time of next fee increase proposal of the school, if any.
12.	As per School of the Accordance of the Accordanc	ounts', s beer own va cribed	deprecation provide lue methology the In	on on fixed d on the od as the ncome Tax	Assets is being charged on WDV basis at the rates prescribed in Income Tax Act, 1961.	regard.

practices prescribed, Depreciation should be charged as per Guidance Note 21 'Accounting for School' issued by ICAL.

Accounting Standards of the ICAL.

Table 3 - Other discrepancies:

S. No.	Detail of discrepancy	school	Remarks
1.	The school has not refunded interest on security deposit to the students along with caution money refund. Moreover, the school has not reflected unrefunded caution money belonging to ex-students as income in the next financial year after the expiry of thirty days and has also not taken this into account while projecting fee structure for ensuing academic year. This is contravention of Clause 18 of Order No. F.DE./15 (56) /Act /2009 / 778 dated 11/02/2009. The school has Rs. 1,91,500 of Caution Money	The school is not earning any interest on caution money and as such only principal amount has been refunded. The	As per clause 18 of the order dated 11.02.2009, school should maintain separate bank account for the caution money collected and interest earned on the same, if any, is to be credited to the caution money account. School should follow DoE instructions in this regard. Compliance shall be verified at the time of next fee increase proposal of the school, if any.
	which is unclaimed/ unpaid.	Transformer room and	The school is advised to
2.	The land allotment letter stipulates that the school shall not make any construction, not even temporary, on the playground area. The school has however made many temporary structures on the playground area viz. Parking Sheds, Transformer Room, a raised	generator room are required to be built for safety of students and can only be built in open area as being essential for the safety of students.	follow the conditions mentioned in the land allotment letter.
3,	platform. The school has not maintained any record in respect of the name of persons/firms/companies etc. in whom the school management/ DAVCMC/ Board Members are interested as Partner, Director or Shareholders etc.	interested.	'Notes to Accounts regarding related parties in accordance with ICA pronouncement.
4.	The school transfers its share of the liability on account of Gratuity and Leave Encashment to the DAVCMC, which in turn manages and maintains the fund for payment of gratuity and leave encashment to the school employees at the time of retirement. It is understood that this amount is paid to DAV CMC as a prevailing practice amongst the school under the management of DAV CMC.	encashment benefits of all staff of DAV Schools are administrated/controlled at Head office through common pool fund. All investments of contributions made by the schools are made by Head office in specified government securities	hearing, DAV CMC was asked to submit the details of gratuity and leave encashmen liabilities of the school a at 31st March, 2016 a per its books of accounts Accordingly, the DAV CMC has submitted dul certified ledger account of Gratuity and Leaver for each

Page 12 of 16

the services are settled by head office. Separate school-wise ledger accounts are being maintained at DAV CMC showing liabilities for gratuity and leave encashment. There is no default of delay in settlement of claims.

As per DAV CMC submission, the Gratuity fund and Leave Encashment fund balance of the school as at 31.03.2016 are Rs. 1,88,58,528/- and Rs. 1,44,39,329/-respectively.

It may be noted that:

- There is no actuary valuation of gratuity and leave encashment liabilities of the school.
 Liabilities and
 - corresponding investments against gratuity and leave encashment are not reflected in the books of accounts of the school. Also, benefit of interest earned on these investments is not passed on to individual school by DAV CMC.

Thus, school is hereby directed:

- To have actuary valuation of its gratuity and leave encashment liabilities;
 - disclose To liabilities on account of gratuity and leave encashment along with the corresponding amount of investments against that in its financial statements from the financial year 2017-18. Also, wherever the existing provisions for these liabilities are in excess of the liabilities determined by the actuary, the excess amount so provided shall be transferred to general reserve fund of the school. In case, the amount currently provided is lesser than amount determined by the actuary, school shall provide balance amount in a and reasonable prudent manner ensuring that burden of same is spread over the years and not charged in a single

9			year. To add back the amount
			of interest, as was earned on gratuity and leave encashment fund by DAV CMC over the years, duly certified by the statutory auditor of the school, in the corresponding investment accounts of the school.
5.	As per the financial statements of the school, the school has made financial transactions with DAV Publication Division. Rs. 11,30,824, Rs. 14,16,761 and Rs. 12,54,238 was due outstanding as payable to DAV Publication Division at the end of FY 2015-16,FY 2014-15 and FY 2013-14 respectively. School is required to provide a detailed clarification in regard to the transactions with DAV CMC.	school books from DAV publication division for further sale to the students and the amount payable to the publication division was	School is directed to ensure that the

And whereas, after going through the representations dated 30.03.2017 and submissions made by the school during the hearing held on 18.05.2017 as well as financial statements/budget of the school available with this Directorate, it emerges that:-

The school is having deficit of Rs. 14,02,120 /- as per the following details:-

Particulars	Amount(Rs)
Cash and Bank balances as on 31.03.16 as per audited Financial Statements	31,00,814
Investment as on 31.03.16 as per audited Financial Statements	72.
Add: Amount recoverable against Interest paid to Society	4,76,028
Add: Donation to DAVCMC from Pupil Fund	5,00,000
Total	40,76,842
Less: Development Fund and Depreciation Reserve Fund#	
Available Funds	40,76,842
Fees for 2015-16 as per financial statement (We have assumed that the amount received in 2015-16 will at least accrue in 2016-17)	20,77,75,256
Other income for 2015-16 as per financial statement	1,37,04,697
Estimated availability of funds for 2016-17	22,55,56,795
Less: Budget expenses for the session 2016-17 as submitted by school management*	22,69,58,915
Net Deficit	-14,02,120

#In the balance sheet of the school for FY 2015-16, development fee fund and depreciation reserve fund are nil.

*The school has submitted its total expenses for FY 2016-17. The increase in establishment expenses for the year is around 15%. It found to be unreasonable increase and accordingly, the same is not considered in above calculations and only 10% increase in establishment expenditures is considered. Further, school has also budgeted for Vehicles which amounts Rs. 20,00,000/-. This expenditure is not considered in the above calculation as same cannot be charged from each student and the school should purchase the vehicles out of the

Y

accumulated surplus generated from transport fee. Also, school has proposed for Building Construction amounting to Rs. 50,00,000/- and it is not considered in above calculations as it was uphed in Modern School Judgment that capital expenditure cannot form part of financial fee structure and can be only made out of the savings of the school.

And whereas, in view of the above examination, it is evident that the school does not have sufficient liquid funds to meet the financial implications for the financial year 2016-17.

And whereas, the school proposal for fee increase for the session 2016-17 was earlier declined vide order dated 27.02.17, on the ground that the school had sufficient funds. During the hearing, the school has represented that it do not have adequate funds and it shall not be able to manage its operational expenses for the year from the available funds.

And whereas, as per clause 22 of Order No. F.DE./15 (56) /Act /2009 / 778 dated 11/02/2009, user charges should be collected on no profit and no loss basis and should be used only for the purpose for which these are collected. Accordingly, the school is advised to maintain separate fund in respect of each earmarked levies charged from students in accordance with the DSEA & R, 1973 and orders, circulars, etc., issued there under. If there are large surpluses under any earmarked levy collected from the students, the same shall be considered or adjusted for determining the earmarked levy to be charged in the next academic session.

And whereas, as per clause No. 14 of Order No. F.DE./ 15(56)/ACT/2009/778 dated 11.02.2009, 'Development Fee, not exceeding 15% of the total annual tuition fee may be charged for supplementing the resources for purchase, up-gradation and replacement of furniture, fixture and equipment. Development Fee, if required to be charged, shall be treated as capital receipt and shall be collected only if the school is maintaining a depreciation reserved fund, equivalent to the deprecation charged in the revenue accounts and the collection under this head along with and income generated from the investment made out of this fund, will be kept in a separately maintained development fund account.' Accordingly, school is advised to maintain separate development fund and utilized the same strictly in accordance with the DSEA & R, 1973 and orders, circulars, etc., issued there under.

And whereas, it is evident that the school is not maintaining development fund account and depreciation reserve fund in proper manner in accordance with clause 14 of Order No. F.DE./15 (56) /Act /2009 / 778 dated 11/02/2009. The school has followed unsustainable financial practices and using development fund for non permissible items. Hence, development fee already charged @15% has in reality been used for other purposes, and in effect already tantamount to a hike on tuition fee. School must not charge development fee unless it comply with instructions of this Directorate in this regard.

And whereas, these recommendations along with relevant materials were put before Director of Education for consideration and who after considering all the material on the record has found that the school does not have sufficient liquid funds to meet the financial implications for the financial year 2016-17 and the representation dated 30.03.2017 and subsequent submissions made in this regard find merit in respect of sanction for increase in fee and hereby accepted on the basis of above mentioned observations. Further, Director (Education) has decided to allow the school to increase the existing fee by 5% for the session 2016-17.

Accordingly, it is hereby conveyed that the representations for fee hike of Veda Vyasa DAV Public School, Vikaspuri, New Delhi, has been accepted by the Director of Education and the school is hereby allowed to increase the existing fee by 5% for the session 2016-17.

Th.

Further, the management of said school is hereby directed under section 24(3) of DSEAR 1973 to comply with the following directions:

1. To comply with all the directions/ instructions as mentioned in this order.

 The fee should be utilised as per letter and spirit of Rule 177 of the DSEA & R, 1973 and the judgement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Modern School Vs Union of India (2004).

3. In the light of Judgment of Modern School vs Union of India, the salaries and allowances shall come out from the fees whereas capital expenditure will be a charge on the savings. Therefore it is to be ensured not to include capital expenditure as a component of fee structure to be submitted by the school under section 17(3) of DSEA&R, 1973.

Non compliance of the order shall be viewed seriously.

This issues with the prior approval of the Competent Authority.

(Yogesh Pratap)
Deputy Director of Education
Private School Branch
Directorate of Education

To The Manager/HoS Veda Vyasa DAV Public School, Vikaspuri, New Delhi

No. F. DE-15/ACT-I/WPC-4109/PART/13/ 951 Copy to:-

Dated: 4 / 10 /2017

- 1. P.S. to Secretary (Education), Directorate of Education, GNCT of Delhi.
- 2. P.S. to Director (Education), Directorate of Education, GNCT of Delhi.
- P.A. to Addl. Director of Education (Private School Branch), Directorate of Education, GNCT of Delhi.
- 4. DDE concerned
- 5. Guard file.
- Director (IL), DDA to check whether the school has complied with the conditions of land allotment letter while constructing temporary structures.

(Yogesh-Protap)
Deputy Director of Education-1
Private School Branch
Directorate of Education