

575

18

GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF DELHI
DIRECTORATE OF EDUCATION
(PRIVATE SCHOOL BRANCH)
OLD SECRETARIAT DELHI-110054

48

No. F.DE.15(605)/PSB/2018/ 30379-30383

Dated: 12-12-2018

ORDER

WHEREAS this Directorate vide its order No. DE.15 (318)/PSB/2016/19786 dated 17 Oct 2017 of Directorate of Education Govt. of NCT of Delhi has issued 'Guidelines for implementation of 7th Central Pay Commission's recommendations in private unaided recognized schools in Delhi' and required that private unaided schools which are running on land allotted by DDA/other govt. agencies with the condition in their allotment letter to seek prior approval of Director (Education) before any fee increase need to submit its online fee increase proposal for the academic session 2017-2018. Accordingly vide circular no. 19849-19857 dated 23 Oct 2017 the fee increase proposals were invited from all aforesaid schools till 30 Nov 2017 and this date was further extended to 14 Dec 2017 vide Directorate's order No. DE.15 (318)/PSB/2016/20535 dated 20 Nov 2017 in compliance of directions of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide its order dated 14 Nov 2017 in CM No. 40939/2017 in WPC 10023/2017.

AND WHEREAS attention is also invited towards order of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated 19 Jan 2016 in writ petition No. 4109/2013 in the matter of Justice for All versus Govt. of NCT of Delhi and others where it has been directed by the Hon'ble Delhi High Court that the Director of Education has to ensure the compliance of term if any in the letter of allotment regarding the increase of the fee by all the recognized unaided schools which are allotted land by DDA.

AND WHEREAS The Hon'ble High Court while issuing the aforesaid direction has observed that the issue regarding the liability of Private unaided Schools situated on the land allotted by DDA at concessional rates has been conclusively decided by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the judgment dated 27 Apr 2004 passed in Civil Appeal No. 2699 of 2001 titled Modern School Vs. Union of India and others wherein Hon'ble Supreme Court in Para 27 and 28 has held as under:-

"27....

(c) It shall be the duty of the Director of Education to ascertain whether terms of allotment of land by the Government to the schools have been complied with...

28. We are directing the Director of Education to look into the letters of allotment issued by the Government and ascertain whether they (terms and conditions of land allotment) have been complied with by the schools.....

.....If in a given case Director finds non-compliance of above terms the Director shall take appropriate steps in this regard."

AND WHEREAS the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above said Judgment also held that under section 17(3) 18(4) read along with rule 172 173 175 and 177 of Delhi School Education Rules 1973 Directorate of Education has the authority to regulate the fee and other charges to prevent commercialization of education.

AND WHEREAS in response to this directorate's circular dated 23 Oct 2017 referred to above **DAV Centenary Public School (School ID: 1310280), Narela, Delhi- 110040** proposal for enhancement of fee for the academic session 2017-2018 in the prescribed format including the impact on account of implementation of recommendations of 7th CPC with effect from 1 Apr 2017.

AND WHEREAS in order to ensure that the proposals submitted by the schools for fee increase are justified or not this Directorate has deployed teams of Chartered Accountants at HQ level who has evaluated the fee increase proposals of the school very carefully in accordance with the provisions of the DSEA 1973 the DSER 1973 and other orders/ circulars issued from time to time by this Directorate for fee regulation.

AND WHEREAS necessary records and explanations were also called from the school through email. Further school was also provided an opportunity of being heard on 28 June 2018 at 10:00 AM to present its justifications/ clarifications on fee increase proposal including audited financial statements and based on the discussion school was further asked to submit necessary documents and clarification on various issues noted.

AND WHEREAS the reply of the school documents uploaded on the web portal for fee increase and subsequent documents submitted by the school were thoroughly evaluated by the team of Chartered Accountants and key findings noted are as under:

A. Financial Discrepancies

1. Directorate's order no. F.DE-15/WPC-4109/Part/13/7914-7923 dated 16 Apr 2016 regarding fee increase proposals for FY 2016-2017 states *"In case, the schools have already charged any increased fee prior to issue of this order, the same shall be liable to be adjusted by the schools in terms of the sanction of the Director of Education on the proposal."*

The school had increased its fees by varying percentage under different heads from April 2016 to August 2016 without prior approval of the Directorate. Whereas, post evaluation of fee increase proposal for FY 2016-2017 submitted by the school, the fee increase proposal was rejected by DoE with the direction that in case increased fee has already been charged from the parents, the same shall be refunded/adjusted vide Order No. F.DE-15/ACT-I/WPC-4109/PART/13/301-305 dated 27 Dec 2016.

Based on the information provided by the school, the school collected an additional sum of INR 27,56,735 on account of increased fee during FY 2016-2017 out of which the school has adjusted a sum of INR 17,91,745 from the fee collected from students for the month of Feb 2017 and March 2017. The balance amount of INR 9,64,990 is yet to be refunded/adjusted. Reasonable explanation/justification for not refunding/adjusting the balance of excess amount collected from the students was not provided by the school. During personal hearing, the school mentioned that it will refund/adjust the excess fees charged after receipt of order in relation to fee hike proposal for FY 2017-2018 from the Directorate. The remaining amount of increased fee of INR 9,64,990 collected from students during FY 2016-2017 has been adjusted while deriving the fund position of the school for FY 2017-2018 (enclosed in the later part of this order) with the direction to the school to immediately refund the amount to the students and submit evidence of the same within 30 days from the issue

of this order. Also, the school is directed not to increase fee in future without prior approval from DoE.

2. The Directorate in its order no. F.DE-15/ACT-I/WPC-4109/PART/13/301-305 dated 27 Dec 2016 noted that the income shown in the financial statements for the year 2013-2014, 2014-2015 & 2015-2016 was less by INR 1,78,09,186 as corroborated with the fee structure of the school and the number of students as per attendance register. The reason for such difference was not given by the school.

During personal hearing, the school submitted that the accountant and fee collection official of the school had conspired against the school by recording reduced fee collections in the books of account and manipulating cash (less deposit in bank account). Thus, on account of embezzlement of cash by the school staff, First Information Report (FIR) has been lodged against the staff with local police station (Reference No. 620 Dated 27 Dec 2017) against which charge-sheet is pending to be submitted by Delhi Police in the court.

During FY 2016-2017, similar issue was noted whereby, based on the information placed on record by the school, income has been under reported by INR 11,39,643. While the income has been underreported, the amount of embezzlement has not been considered while deriving the fund position of the school for FY 2017-2018 (enclosed in the later part of this order) as the recoverability of this amount would take time and would depend on the outcome of the case filed against the staff. However, the school should identify the root cause and financial control weaknesses that led to this embezzlement and take mitigation measures to strengthen its financial control system ensuring that such manipulation is not possible with immediate effect. Also, the school should provide details of progress in respect of recovery of the amount of embezzlement done by the staff at the time of evaluation of subsequent fee hike proposal.

3. As a practice adopted by the schools under the management of DAV CMC, the school provides for Gratuity and Leave encashment expense @ 7% and 3% respectively of Basic Pay and Dearness Allowance, which is transferred to DAV CMC. DAV CMC in turn manages and maintains the common pool of funds for all schools under its management and uses the same for payment of gratuity and leave encashment liability as and when the same arises in respect of the staff of respective school at the time of his/her resignation/retirement.

The school was directed by DoE through its Order no. F.DE-15/Act-I/WPC-4109/Part/13/301-305 dated 27 December 2016 to obtain an actuarial valuation of its gratuity and leave encashment liabilities. Further, the school was directed to disclose its liabilities on account of gratuity and leave encashment along with corresponding investments in the financial statements from FY 2017-2018 onwards. The school is yet to obtain an actuarial certificate regarding its liability towards retirement benefits of the staff and has continued to maintain the investments with DAV CMC.

Based on discussion with the school during personal hearing, the school provided details of fund balance with DAV CMC in respect of payments made by the school to DAV CMC towards maintenance of retirement benefits fund with DAV CMC including interest accrued

for last two years. The balances disclosed by the school based on records maintained by DAV CMC as on 31 Mar 2017 have been indicated below:

Head	Balance as on 31 Mar 2017 (INR)
Gratuity Fund	1,00,78,807
Leave Encashment Fund	43,76,714
Total	1,44,55,521

Further, according to para 7.14 of the Accounting Standard 15 – 'Employee Benefits' issued by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India, "Plan assets comprise:

- (a) assets held by a long-term employee benefit fund; and
(b) qualifying insurance policies."

Accordingly, the investment in the form of fund balance maintained by DAV CMC in respect of the liability towards retirement benefits of the school does not qualify as 'Plan Assets' within the meaning of Accounting Standard 15 (AS-15).

The school mentioned that DAV CMC is in the process of getting the actuarial valuation of retirement benefits of staff of all the schools under its management and the selection process of the actuary has been completed by DAV CMC for carrying out the valuation. It was further explained that the valuation exercise has been initiated for all school under the management of DAV CMC, thus, it has taken more time than expected in collecting the staff data from schools across India, verifying the same and submitting it to the Actuary for valuation. The school further mentioned that the liability as per actuarial valuation would be presented in the financial statements of the school for FY 2018-2019 along with investment in plan-assets as per the requirements of AS-15.

While the school has initiated the process of actuarial valuation, the school should get the valuation of its liability towards staff retirement benefits from an actuary at the earliest and ensure that the liability and corresponding investments are disclosed appropriately in its financial statements for FY 2018-2019. The school should also invest the amount of funds available with DAV CMC towards retirement benefits of the staff of the school in the investments that qualify as 'Plan Assets' within 30 days from the date of this order.

In absence of actuarial valuation, expenditure towards gratuity and leave encashment budgeted by the school during FY 2017-2018 has not been considered, restricted to the amount of actual pay-out of the same to the staff upon retirement during FY 2017-2018 (as per ledger account submitted by the school) and adjusted accordingly from the budgeted expenses of FY 2017-2018 while deriving the fund position of the school (enclosed in the later part of this order).

4. As per direction no. 2 included in the Public Notice dated 4 May 1997, "it is the responsibility of the society who has established the school to raise such funds from their own sources or donations from the other associations because the immovable property of the school becomes the sole property of the society". Additionally, Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in its judgement dated 30 Oct 1998 in the case of Delhi Abibhavak Mahasangh concluded that "The tuition fee cannot be fixed to recover capital expenditure to be incurred on the properties of the society." Also, Clause (vii) (c) of Order No. F.DE/15/Act/2K/243/KKK/ 883-

1982 dated 10 Feb 2005 issued by this Directorate states "*Capital expenditure cannot constitute a component of the financial fee structure.*"

Accordingly, based on the aforementioned public notice and High Court judgement, the cost relating to land and construction of the school building has to be met by the society, being the property of the society and school funds i.e. fee collected from students is not to be utilised for the same.

Also, per the Directorate's Order No. DE 15/Act/Duggal.com/203/ 99/23033/23980 dated 15 Dec 1999, the management is restrained from transferring any amount from the recognized unaided school fund to society or trust or any other institution. The Supreme Court also through its judgement on a review petition in 2009 restricted transfer of funds to the society.

From the financial statements of the school for FY 2016-2017, it was noticed that the school had reported a liability towards loan from DAV CMC towards construction of building against which there was an outstanding balance of INR 2,20,98,593 as on 31 March 2017. Further, in this directorate's order no. F.DE-15/ACT-I/WPC-4109/PART/13/301-305 dated 27 Dec 2016, it was noted that the school had paid an amount of INR 55,76,960 as interest on loan to DAV CMC during FY 2013-2014, FY 2014-2015 and FY 2015-2016, which resulted in diversion of fund to the society. It was further noted that no interest was recorded during FY 2016-2017. Thus, the amount of interest of INR 55,76,960 paid to the society is hereby added to the fund position of the school (enclosed in the later part of this order) considering the same as funds available with the school and with the direction to the school to recover this amount from the Society within 30 days from the date of this order.

Further, as construction of building was the responsibility of the society, the amount of loan appearing as on 31 Mar 2017 should be written off from the books of account of the school.

5. During the personal hearing, the school explained that administration charges payable to DAV CMC are accounted for at the rate of 4% of the basic salary paid by the school to its staff. However, based on the details provided by the school and expenditure included in the audited financial statements of FY 2016-2017, it was noted that the school has provided administration charges @ 4.27% of basic salary and grade pay, which resulted in excess expenditure of INR 1,91,622 recorded in FY 2016-2017. This amount of INR 1,91,622 is hereby added to the fund position of the school (enclosed in the later part of this order) considering the same as funds available with the school and with the direction to the school to recover this amount from the Society within 30 days from the date of this order.

B. Other Discrepancies

1. Rule 176 - 'Collections for specific purposes to be spent for that purpose' of the DSER, 1973 states "*Income derived from collections for specific purposes shall be spent only for such purpose.*"

Para no. 22 of Order No. F.DE./15(56)/ Act/2009/778 dated 11 Feb 2009 states "Earmarked levies will be calculated and collected on 'no-profit no loss' basis and spent only for the purpose for which they are being charged."

Sub-rule 3 of Rule 177 of DSER, 1973 states "Funds collected for specific purposes, like sports, co-curricular activities, subscriptions for excursions or subscriptions for magazines, and annual charges, by whatever name called, shall be spent solely for the exclusive benefit of the students of the concerned school and shall not be included in the savings referred to in sub-rule (2)." Further, Sub-rule 4 of the said rule states "The collections referred to in sub-rule (3) shall be administered in the same manner as the monies standing to the credit of the Pupils Fund as administered."

Also, earmarked levies collected from students are a form of restricted funds, which, according to Guidance Note on Accounting by Schools issued by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India, are required to be credited to a separate fund account when the amount is received and reflected separately in the Balance Sheet.

Further, the aforementioned Guidance Note lays down the concept of fund based accounting for restricted funds, whereby upon incurrence of expenditure, the same is charged to the Income and Expenditure Account ('Restricted Funds' column) and a corresponding amount is transferred from the concerned restricted fund account to the credit of the Income and Expenditure Account ('Restricted Funds' column). From the information provided by the school and taken on record, it has been noted that the school charges earmarked levies in the form of Transport Fees, Computer fee, Science fees, Physical education fees etc. from students. However, the school has not maintained separate fund accounts for these earmarked levies and the school has been generating surplus from earmarked levies, which has been utilised for meeting other expenses of the school or has been incurring losses (deficit) which has been met from other fees/income, which was also mentioned in DOE's order No. F. DE-15/ACT-IWPC-4109/PART/13/301-305 dated 27 Dec 2016. Details of calculation of surplus/deficit, based on breakup of expenditure provided by the school for FY 2016-2017 is given below:

Earmarked Fee	Income (INR)	Expenses (INR)	Surplus (INR)
Physical Education	5,80,830	1,40,416	4,40,414
Science Fees	11,73,920	5,61,758	6,12,162
Transportation Charges [^]	59,62,330	81,38,275	(21,75,945)
Computer Fees	24,55,980	24,70,102	(14,122)

[^] The school has not apportioned depreciation on vehicles used for transportation of students in the expenses stated in table above for creating fund for replacement of vehicles, which should have been done to ensure that the cost of vehicles is apportioned to the students using the transport facility during the life of the vehicles.

The school is directed to maintain separate fund account depicting clearly the amount collected amount utilised and balance amount. Unintentional surplus if any generated from earmarked levies has to be utilized or adjusted against earmarked fees collected from the users in the subsequent year.

Further the school is directed to evaluate costs against each earmarked levy and propose the fee structure for earmarked levies during subsequent proposal for enhancement of fee ensuring that the proposed levies have been calculated on no-profit no-loss basis.

The school is hereby directed to maintain separate fund account depicting clearly the amount collected, amount utilised and balance amount for each earmarked levy collected from students. Unintentional surplus, if any, generated from earmarked levies has to be utilized or adjusted against earmarked fees collected from the users in the subsequent year. Further, the school should evaluate costs incurred against each earmarked levy and propose the revised fee structure for earmarked levies during subsequent proposal for enhancement of fee ensuring that the proposed levies are calculated on no-profit no-loss basis and not to include fee collected from all students as earmarked levies.

2. The Directorate of Education in its Order No. DE.15/Act/Duggal.Com/ 203/99/23033-23980 dated 15 Dec 1999 indicated the heads of fee/ fund that recognised private unaided school can collect from the students/ parents which include:

- Registration Fee
- Admission Fee
- Caution Money
- Tuition Fee
- Annual Charges
- Earmarked Levies
- Development Fee

Further clause no. 9 of the aforementioned order states *"No fee fund or any other charge by whatever name called shall be levied or realised unless it is determined by the Managing Committee in accordance with the directions contained in this order"*

The aforementioned order was also upheld by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Modern School vs Union of India & Others.

It was noted that the school's fee structure include pupil fund, which is collected from the all students and based on details submitted by the school, utilised on co-curricular expenses, function, refreshment related expenditures, etc. Details of collection and utilization of pupil fund provided by the school for FY 2016-2017 is included hereunder:

Particulars	Nature	Amount (INR)
Pupil Fund	Income	39,81,960
Co-Curricular Expenses	Expenses	1,28,540
Function exp.	Expenses	5,45,849
Refreshment and Entertainment Expenses	Expenses	2,02,320
Diwali Expense	Expenses	1,41,988
Uniform Expense	Expenses	23,921
Staff Welfare	Expenses	47,200
Seminar Expense	Expenses	44,250
Sports Coaching Expenses	Expenses	40,500
Medical Expenses	Expenses	22,348

Particulars	Nature	Amount (INR)
Net Surplus reflected by school		27,85,044

Based on the fact that the fee head of 'Pupil Fund' has not been defined for recognised private unaided school and the purposes for which the school has utilised the same is covered under 'Annual Charges' collected by the school from students, the school is directed not to collect pupil fund from students with immediate effect. For the purpose of evaluation of the fee hike proposal for FY 2017-2018, the above-mentioned fee has been included in budgeted income while deriving the fund position of the school (enclosed in the later part of this order).

3. Clause 14 of this Directorate's Order No. F.DE./15 (56)/ Act/2009/778 dated 11 Feb 2009 states "Development fee, not exceeding 15% of the total annual tuition fee may be charged for supplementing the resources for purchase, upgradation and replacement of furniture, fixtures and equipment." However, it was observed that the school had incurred expenditure on purchase of library books of INR 24,635 during FY 2016-2017 and reflected the same as utilisation of development fund in the audited financial statements for FY 2016-2017, which is not in accordance with the direction included in above order.

Incorrect utilisation of development fund was also indicated in this directorate's order No. F. DE-15/ACT-I/WPC-4109/PART/13/301-305 dated 27 December 2016 issued post evaluation of the proposal for enhancement of fee for the academic year 2016-2017 submitted by the school.

The school is directed to follow DOE instruction in this regard and ensure that development fund is utilised only towards purchase of furniture, fixture and equipment.

4. Para 99 of Guidance Note on Accounting by Schools (2005) issued by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India states "Where the fund is meant for meeting capital expenditure upon incurrence of the expenditure the relevant asset account is debited which is depreciated as per the recommendations contained in this Guidance Note. Thereafter the concerned restricted fund account is treated as deferred income to the extent of the cost of the asset and is transferred to the credit of the income and expenditure account in proportion to the depreciation charged every year."

Basis the presentation made in the audited financial statements for FY 2016-2017 submitted by the school, it was noted that the school transferred an amount equivalent to the purchase cost of the assets from development fund to general reserve instead of accounting treatment as indicated in the guidance note cited above.

Also, the school has enclosed a consolidated fixed assets schedule giving details of all assets carried over by the school in its audited financial statement for FY 2016-2017 and has not prepared separate fixed assets schedules for assets purchased against development fund and those purchased against general reserve.

This being a procedural finding, the school is instructed to make necessary rectification entries relating to development fund to comply with the accounting treatment indicated in

the Guidance Note. Further, the school should prepare separate fixed assets schedule for assets purchased against development fund and other assets purchased against general reserve/ fund.

Further, in accordance with Clause 14 of DoE's Order No. F.DE./15 (56) /Act /2009 / 778 dated 11 Feb 2009 which states "*Development fee, not exceeding 15% and the collection under this head along with income generated from the investment made out of this fund, will be kept in a separately maintained development fund account.*", the school is required to maintain development fund in a separate bank account. However, the school has not opened a separate bank account for deposit and utilisation of development fund till date. The school is directed to follow directions in this regard and maintain development fund in a separate bank account. The above being a procedural finding, no financial impact is warranted for deriving the fund position of the school.

5. Direction no. 3 of the public notice dated 4 May 1997 published in the Times of India states "*No security/ deposit/ caution money be taken from the students at the time of admission and if at all it is considered necessary it should be taken once and at the nominal rate of INR 500 per student in any case and it should be returned to the students at the time of leaving the school along with the interest at the bank rate.*"

Further Clause 18 of Order no F.DE/15(56)/Act/2009/778 dated 11 Feb 2009 states "*No caution money/security deposit of more than five hundred rupees per student shall be charged. The caution money thus collected shall be kept deposited in a scheduled bank in the name of the concerned school and shall be returned to the student at the time of his/her leaving the school along with the bank interest thereon irrespective of whether or not he/she requests for refund.*"

The following were noted under DoE's order No. F. DE-15/ACT-I/WPC-4109/PART/13/301-305 dated 27 December 2016:

- School had not maintained separate bank account for deposit of caution money collected and was directed to maintain separate bank account for collection of caution money and interest earned on the same, if any, is to be credited to the caution money account.
- School had not refunded interest on caution money along with refund of caution money to exiting students and was instructed to include interest earned on caution money in the refund amount.
- School had not treated the un-claimed caution money as income in the next financial year after the expiry of 30 days and was instructed to recognise unclaimed caution money as income after expiry of 30 days from the date the students were informed to collect their caution money from school.

During the personal hearing, the school mentioned that it has decided to refund/ adjust the caution money collected from students in past during FY 2018-2019 and not to charge the same in future. Thus, based on the explanation provided by the school, the school should refund/adjust total caution money within FY 2018-2019 and should not collect it

584

subsequently. The amount to be refunded to students after adjusting the income to be recorded by the school towards unclaimed caution money, as declared by the school, has been considered while deriving the fund position of the school (enclosed in the later part of this order).

After detailed examination of all the material on record and considering the clarification submitted by the school it was finally evaluated/ concluded that:

- i. The total funds available for the year 2017-18 amounting to INR 10,16,23,799 out of which cash outflow in the year 2017-18 is estimated to be INR 9,48,21,965. This results in net surplus of INR 68,01,834. The details are as follows:

Particulars	Amount (INR)
Cash and Bank Balance as on 31 March 2017 (as per audited financial statements of FY 2016-2017)	79,63,059
Investments (Fixed Deposits) as on 31 March 2017 (as per audited financial statements of FY 2016-2017)	8,17,766
Current Account Balance with DAVCMC as on 31 Mar 2017 (as per audited financial statements of FY 2016-2017)	68,25,630
Total Liquid Funds Available with the School as on 31 Mar 2017	1,56,06,455
Add: Estimated Fees and other incomes for FY 2017-2018 based on audited financial statements of FY 2016-2017 of the school [Refer Note 1]	8,53,31,987
Add: Interest to be recovered from the society [Refer Financial Finding No. 4]	55,76,960
Add: Recovery of excess amount paid towards administrative expenses to DAV CMC [Refer Financial Finding No. 5]	1,91,622
Gross Estimated Available Funds for FY 2017-2018	10,67,07,024
Less: Development Fund balance as on 31 Mar 2017 [as per audited financial statements of FY 2016-2017]	29,52,735
Less: DAV Alumni Fund balance as on 31 Mar 2017 [as per audited financial statements of FY 2016-2017]	1,36,000
Less: Caution Money (Net of transfer to income in FY 2017-2018) [Refer Note 2]	10,29,500
Less: Increased fees collected in FY 2016-2017 to be refunded to students during FY 2017-2018 [Refer Financial Finding No. 1]	9,64,990
Net Estimated Available Funds for FY 2017-2018	10,16,23,799
Less: Budgeted Expenses for FY 2017-2018 [Refer Note 3]	94,821,965
Estimated Surplus as on 31 Mar 2018	68,01,834

Notes:

1. Fee and income as per audited financial statements of FY 2016-2017 has been considered with the assumption that the amount of income during FY 2016-2017 will at least accrue during FY 2017-2018 with an adjustment of INR 9,64,990 excess fees collected by the school during FY 2016-2017, which has been adjusted during FY 2017-2018 based on summary of adjustment provided by the school and thus would not accrue as income during FY 2017-2018.
2. Unclaimed caution money of INR 17,22,250, as declared by the school to be treated as income during FY 2017-2018, has been adjusted from the liability towards caution money as on 31 Mar 2017 of INR 27,51,750 (as per audited financial statements of FY 2016-2017) and the net balance of INR 10,29,500 refundable to students has been considered for deriving the net estimated available funds with the school for FY 2017-2018. Also, refer other finding no. 5.
3. Per the Budgeted Receipt and Payment Account for FY 2017-2018 submitted by the school along with proposal for fee increase, the school had estimated the total expenditure during FY 2017-2018 as INR 10,39,22,367, which in some instances was found to be unreasonable/ excessive. Based on the explanations and details provided by the school during personal hearing, most of the expense heads as budgeted were considered even though certain expenditures were increased substantially by the school as compared to FY 2016-2017. However, during review of budgeted expenses, discrepancies were noted in some of the expense heads and a new expense head was identified, which were adjusted from the budgeted expenses. The same were discussed during personal hearing with the school. Therefore, the following expenses have been adjusted while considering the budgeted expenses for FY 2017-2018:

Particulars	FY 2016-2017	FY 2017-2018	Amount allowed	Amount Disallowed	Remarks
Salary to Teaching Staff	4,88,53,911	6,52,79,812	6,35,10,084	17,69,728	Detailed/ complete working for 7 CPC is not provided, thus we have considered increase in 30% of salary from previous year 2016-17.
Gratuity Fund contribution	24,88,749	31,31,923	4,73,381	26,58,542	Refer Financial Finding No. 3
Leave Encashment contribution	10,67,246	13,42,253	31,106	13,11,147	Refer Financial Finding No. 3
Administrative Charges	8,24,223	33,05,379	9,44,394	23,60,985	Refer # below
Vehicles	13,70,316	10,00,000	-	10,00,000	Cannot be purchased from Development Fund
Total	5,46,04,445	7,40,59,367	6,49,58,965	91,00,402	

- # the school budgeted administrative charges payable to DAV CMC at the rate of 7% of basic pay (against 4% charged previously) on account of implementation of pay scales recommended by 7th Central Pay Commission (CPC) for the staff at DAV CMC. Considering that the basic salary of the staff at school has also increased substantially on account of implementation of 7th CPC during FY 2017-2018, administrative charges have been allowed @ 2% of basic salary, which results in a 30% increase in the amount (compared with FY 2016-2017) and should be sufficient to absorb the impact of increased cost at DAV CMC.

In view of the above examination it is evident that the school does have sufficient funds for meeting the budgeted expenditure for the financial year 2017-2018.

- ii. The directions issued by the Directorate of Education vide circular no. 1978 dated 16 Apr 2010 states *"All schools must first of all explore and exhaust the possibility of utilising the existing funds/ reserves to meet any shortfall in payment of salary and allowances as a consequence of increase in the salary and allowance of the employees. A part of the reserve fund which has not been utilised for years together may also be used to meet the shortfall before proposing a fee increase."* The school has sufficient funds to carry on the operation of the school for the academic session 2017-2018 on the basis of existing fees structure even after considering existing funds/reserves.

As per the Directorate's Order No. DE 15/Act/Duggal.com/203/ 99/23033/23980 dated 15 Dec 1999, the management is restrained from transferring any amount from the recognized unaided school fund to society or trust or any other institution. However, the school has a paid interest on loan for the previous year of INR 55,76,960 to the Society and excessive administrative expenditure of INR 1,91,622. Thus, the school is directed to recover these amounts from Society.

Whereas per direction no. 2 of Public Notice dated 4 May 1997, it is the responsibility of the society who has established the school to raise funds from their own sources or donations from the other associations for construction of building because the immovable property of the school becomes the sole property of the society. Further, Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in its judgement dated 30 Oct 1998 in the case of Delhi Abibhavak Mahasangh concluded that tuition fee cannot be fixed to recover capital expenditure to be incurred on the properties of the society. Thus, the additions to the building should not be met out of the fee collected from students and is required to be recovered from the society.

And whereas per point no. 22 of Order No. F.DE./15 (56)/Act/2009/778 dated 11 Feb 2009, user charges should be collected at 'no profit and no loss' basis and should be used only for the purpose for which these are collected. The school has continued to charge earmarked fee higher than the expenses incurred against science and physical education fees whereas the expenses incurred are more than transport charges collected from students. The school has utilised the surplus earned for meeting the establishment expenses and deficit on transport charges. Accordingly, the school is advised to maintain separate fund in respect of each earmarked levy charged from the students in accordance with the DSEA & R, 1973 and orders, circulars, etc. issued thereunder. Surpluses/deficit under each earmarked levy collected from the students should be adjusted for determining the earmarked levy to be charged in the academic session 2018-2019.

And whereas per point no. 14 of Order No. F.DE./15(56)/ACT/2009/778 dated 11 Feb 2009, Development Fee, not exceeding 15% of the total annual tuition fee may be charged for supplementing the resources for purchase, up-gradation and replacement of furniture, fixture and equipment. Development Fee, if required to be charged, shall be treated as capital receipt and shall be collected only if the school is maintaining a depreciation reserve fund, equivalent to the deprecation charged in the revenue accounts and the collection under this head along with income generated from the investment made out of this fund, will be kept in a separately maintained development fund account. The school is advised to comply with the directions with

regard to proper accounting and presentation of Development Fund in the School's financial statements and utilisation of development fund only towards purchase of furniture, fixtures and equipment.

And whereas Accounting Standard 15 - 'Employee Benefits' issued by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India states "Accounting for defined benefit plans is complex because actuarial assumptions are required to measure the obligation and the expense and there is a possibility of actuarial gains and losses." Further, the Accounting Standard defines Plan Assets (the form of investments to be made against liability towards retirement benefits) as:

- (a) assets held by a long-term employee benefit fund; and
- (b) qualifying insurance policies.

The school has been directed to ensure compliance with Accounting Standard 15 including measurement of its liability towards retirement benefits of the staff by a qualified actuary and making the investment against the liability so determined in the mode specified under the said Accounting Standard.

And whereas in the light of above evaluation which is based on the provisions of DSEA 1973 DSER 1973 guidelines orders and circulars issued from time to time by this Directorate it was recommended by the team of Chartered Accountants that along with certain financial irregularities that were identified (appropriate financial impact of which has been taken on the fund position of the school) and certain procedural findings which were also noted (appropriate instructions against which have been given in this order), the funds available with the school for implementation of recommendations of 7th CPC and to carry out its operations for the academic session 2017-2018 are sufficient. Accordingly, the fee increase proposal of the school may be rejected.

And whereas recommendations of the team of Chartered Accountants along with relevant materials were put before Director of Education for consideration and who after considering all material on record has found that the school has sufficient funds for meeting the financial implications of 7th CPC salary and other expenses for the financial year 2017-2018. Therefore Director (Education) rejects the proposal submitted by the school for enhancement of fee for the academic session 2017-2018 in accordance with the resolution of the Managing Committee of the School approving fee increase for FY 2017-2018.

Accordingly it is hereby conveyed that the proposal of enhancement of fee for session 2017-2018 of **DAV Centenary Public School (School ID- 1310280), Narela, Delhi- 110040** has been rejected by the Director of Education. Further the management of said school is hereby directed under section 24(3) of DSEAR 1973 to comply with the following directions:


1. Not to increase any fee/charges during FY 2017-2018. In case, the school has already charged increased fee during FY 2017-2018, the school should make necessary adjustments from future fee/refund the amount of excess fee collected, if any, as per the convenience of the parents.

2. To communicate with the parents through its website notice board and circular about rejection of fee increase proposal of the school by the Directorate of Education.
3. To rectify the financial and other irregularities/violations as listed above and submit the compliance report within 30 days from the date of this order to D.D.E.(PSB).
4. To ensure that the salaries and allowances shall come out from the fees whereas capital expenditure will be a charge on the savings in accordance with the principles laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court of Delhi in its Judgment of Modern School vs Union of India. Therefore school not to include capital expenditure as a component of fee structure to be submitted by the school under section 17(3) of DSEA 1973.
5. To utilise the fee collected from students in accordance with the provisions of Rule 177 of the DSER 1973 and orders and directions issued by this Directorate from time to time.
6. The Compliance Report detailing rectification of the above listed deficiencies/ violations must also be attached with the proposal for enhancement of fee of subsequent academic session, as may be submitted by the school. Compliance of all the directions mentioned above will be examined before evaluation of proposal for enhancement of fee for subsequent academic session.

Non-compliance of this order or any direction herein shall be viewed seriously and will be dealt with in accordance with the provisions of section 24(4) of Delhi School Education Act 1973 and Delhi School Education Rules 1973.

This order has to be read in continuation to this Directorate's order No. F. DE-15/ACT-I/WPC-4109/PART/13/301-305 dated 27 December 2016 issued to the School.

This order is issued with the prior approval of the Competent Authority.


(Yogesh Pratap)
Deputy Director of Education
(Private School Branch)
Directorate of Education
GNCT of Delhi

To:
The Manager/ HoS
DAV Centenary Public School
School ID- 1310280
Narela, Delhi- 110040

589

No. F. DE. 15(606)/PSB/2018/ 30379-383

Dated: 12/12/2018

Copy to:

1. P.S. to Secretary (Education) Directorate of Education GNCT of Delhi.
2. P.S. to Director (Education) Directorate of Education GNCT of Delhi.
3. P.A. to Spl. Director of Education (Private School Branch) Directorate of Education GNCT of Delhi.
4. DDE concerned
5. Guard file.


(Yogesh Prastap)
Deputy Director of Education
(Private School Branch)
Directorate of Education
GNCT of Delhi