GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF DELHI DIRECTORATE OF EDUCATION (PRIVATE SCHOOL BRANCH) OLD SECRETARIAT, DELHI-110054

1488

No. F.DE.15 (412)/PSB/2018 1430-1434

Dated: 29/03/19

Order

WHEREAS, this Directorate vide its order No. DE.15 (318)/PSB/2016/19786 dated 17.10.2017 issued 'Guidelines for implementation of 7th Central Pay Commission's recommendations in private unaided recognized Schools in Delhi' and directed that the private unaided Schools, which are running on land allotted by DDA/other govt. agencies with the condition in their allotment letter to seek prior approval of Director (Education) before any fee increase, needs to submit their online fee increase proposal for the academic session 2017-18. Accordingly, vide circular no. 19849-19857 dated 23.10.2017, the fee increase proposals were invited from all aforesaid Schools till 30.11.2017 and this date was further extended to 14.12.2017 vide Directorate's order No. DE.15 (318)/PSB/2016/20535 dated 20.11.2017 in compliance of directions of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide its order dated 14.11.2017 in CM No. 40939/2017 in WPC 10023/2017.

AND WHEREAS, attention is also invited towards order of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated 19.01.2016 in writ petition No. 4109/2013 in the matter of Justice for All versus GNCTD and others wherein it has been directed by the Hon'ble Delhi High Court that the Director of Education will ensure the compliance of conditions, if any, in the letter of allotment regarding prior approval of Director of education for the increase of fee by all the recognized unaided Schools which are allotted land by DDA.

AND WHEREAS, the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi while issuing the aforesaid direction has observed that the issue regarding the liability of private unaided Schools situated on the land allotted by DDA at concessional rates has been conclusively decided by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the judgment dated 27.04.2004 passed in Civil Appeal No. 2699 of 2001 titled Modern School V. Union of India and others wherein Hon'ble Supreme Court in Para 27 and 28 has held as under:-

"27....

(c) It shall be the duty of the Director of Education to ascertain whether terms of allotment of land by the Government to the Schools have been complied with...

28. We are directing the Director of Education to look into the letters of allotment issued by the Government and ascertain whether they (terms and conditions of land allotment) have been complied with by the Schools......

.....If in a given case, Director finds non-compliance of above terms, the Director shall take appropriate steps in this regard."

J.

AND WHEREAS, the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above said Judgment also held that Judgment 17(3),18(4) of Delhi School Education Act, 1973 read with rule 172,173,175 and 177 of Delhi School Education Rules 1973, Directorate of Education has the authority to regulate the fee and other charges to prevent commercialization of education.

AND WHEREAS, in pursuance to order dated 23.10.2017 of this Directorate, **Mount Abu Public School, Sector-5 Rohini, Delhi-110005 (School Id: 1413239)** had submitted the proposal for increase in fee for the academic session 2017-18 including the impact on account of implementation of recommendations of 7th CPC with effect from 01.01.2016.

AND WHEREAS, in order to ensure that the proposals submitted by the Schools for fee increase are justified or not, this Directorate has deployed teams of expert Chartered Accountants at HQ level who have evaluated the fee proposals of the School very carefully in accordance with the provisions of the DSEA, 1973, the DSER, 1973 and other orders/ circulars issued from time to time by this Directorate for fee regulation.

AND WHEREAS, necessary records and explanations were also called from the School vide email dated March 23, 2018. Further, School was also provided opportunity of being heard on May 16, 2018 to present its justifications/ clarifications on fee increase proposal including audited financial statements and based on the discussions, School was further asked to submit necessary documents and clarifications on various issues noted.

AND WHEREAS, the reply of the School, documents uploaded on the web portal for fee increase and subsequent documents submitted by the School were evaluated thoroughly by the team of Chartered Accountants. The key findings noted are as under:

Financial Irregularities

- I. As per clause 14 of order no. F.DE. /15(56)/Act/2009/778 dated 11.02.2009, development fee, not exceeding 15% of the total annual tuition fees may be charged for supplementing the resources for purchase, upgradation and replacement of furniture, fixture and equipment. Development fee, if required to be charged shall be treated as capital receipt and shall be collected only if the school is maintaining depreciation reserve fund, equivalent to the depreciation charged in the revenue accounts and the collections under this head along with income generated from the investment made from this fund, will be kept separately maintained development fund account. However, on review of audited financial statement of FY 2014-15, 2015-16 and 2016-17 following have been observed.
 - a. The school has utilised development fee for purchase of library books amounting to Rs.11,50,149 and Rs.5,46,361 in FY 2015-16 and FY 2016-17 respectively which is in contravention of the abovementioned clause. Therefore, the school is directed to make necessary adjustment in development fund account and general reserve account.
 - b. In FY 2014-15 and 2015-16, assets purchased out of development fund is not reflecting on the face of the financial statement whereas the balance of development fund has also reduced. Due to which the assets and liabilities are not correctly reflecting on the face of the financial statement because the amount of fixed assets as well as the



utilised reserve is understated. Therefore, the school is directed to prepare and present the financial statement in accordance with the generally accepted accounting principle.

(Figures in Rs.)		
FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	
42,29,980	97,79,711	
	FY 2014-15	

c. In FY 2016-17, school has not created development fund utilisation account and therefore assets purchased out of development fund amounting to Rs.86,04,677 was transferred to general reserve account. Hence, the school is directed to make necessary adjustment in the general reserve and development fund utilisation account.

In respect of earmarked levies, school is required to comply with:

(

11.

- a) Clause 22 of order dated 11.02.2009, which specifies that earmarked levies shall be charged from user students on 'no profit no loss' basis;
- Rule 176 of DSER, 1973, which provides that 'income derived from collections for specific purpose shall be spent only for such purpose';
- c) Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the case of Modern School Vs Union of India and others, which specifies that schools, being run as non-profit organizations, are supposed to follow fund-based accounting.

On review of audited financial statements of the FY 2014-15, 2015-16 and 2016-17, it has been observed that the school is charging earmarked levies namely transport fee, smart class fee, science fee and medical fee from the students but these fees are not charged on 'no profit no loss' basis as the school is either earning surplus or incurring deficit from these levies. During the period under evaluation, school has generated surplus on account of transport fee, smart class fees and science fee and incurred deficit on account of medical fee. Further, the school is doing the fund based accounting. Therefore, the school is directed to follow fund based accounting for earmarked levies and to adhere the abovementioned provisions. Also, make necessary adjustments in the General Reserve

Moreover, as per the Duggal Committee report, there are four categories of fee that can be charged by a school. The first category of fee comprises of "registration fee and all One Time Charges" which is levied at the time of admission such as Admission and Caution Money. The second category of fee comprises of "Tuition Fee" which is to be fixed to cover the standard cost of the establishment and also to cover expenditure of revenue nature for the improvement of curricular facilities like Library, Laboratories, Science and Computer fee up to class X and examination fee. The third category of the fee should consist of "Annual Charges" to cover all expenditure not included in the second category and the forth category should consist of all "Earmarked Levies" for the services rendered by the school and to be recovered only from the 'User' students'. These charges are Transport Fee, Swimming Pool Charges, Horse Riding, Tennis, Midday Meals etc.

Based on the aforesaid provisions, earmarked levies are to be collected only from the user students availing the facilities and if, the services are extended to all students of the school, a separate charge should not be levied by the school as it would get covered either from

4

the Tuition Fee or from the Annual Charges. Accordingly, the School is directed not to charge a separate levy in the name of "Smart class fee and Medical fee".

As per Clause 2 of Public Notice dated May 4, 1997 state that "It is the responsibility of the 111. society who has established the school to raise such funds from their own sources or donations from the other associations because the immovable property of the school becomes the sole property of the society". Additionally, Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in its Judgment dated 30 October 1998 in case of Delhi Abibhavak Mahasangh concluded that "Tuition Fee cannot be fixed to recover capital expenditure to be incurred on the properties of the Society". Also, clause (vii) of order No. F.DE/15/Act/2k/243/KKK/883-1982 dated 10.02. 2005 issued by this Directorate state that "Capital expenditure cannot constitute a component of financial fee structure". Accordingly, based on the aforesaid Public Notice, High Court Judgment and Oder of the Director of Education, the cost relating to construction of School Building is to be met by the Society and not from the funds of the

Also, as per Rule 177 of DSER, income derived by an unaided recognised schools by way of fees shall be utilised in the first instance, for meeting the pay, allowances and other benefits admissible to the employees of the school. Provided that savings, if any, from the fees collected by such school may be utilised by its management committee for meeting capital or contingent expenditure of the school, or for one or more of the following educational purposes, namely award of scholarships to students, establishment of any other recognised school, or assisting any other school or educational institution, not being a college, under the management of the same society or trust by which the first mentioned school is run.

Further, the aforesaid savings shall be arrived at after providing for the following, namely:

- a) Pension, gratuity and other specified retirement and other benefits admissible to the employees of the school;
- b) The needed expansion of the school or any expenditure of a developmental nature;
- c) The expansion of the school building or for the expansion or construction of any building or establishment of hostel or expansion of hostel accommodation;
- d) Co-curricular activities of the students;

(

e) Reasonable reserve fund, not being less than ten percent, of such savings.

However, on review of the financial statements and other relevant documents submitted by the school, it has been observed that the school has incurred Rs 39,55,457 for addition to the building in FY 2014-15 before making appropriate provisions for gratuity and leave encashment as required by rule 177 of the DSER, 1973. Thus, the amount of expenditure incurred by the school for addition to the building is in contravention of the aforesaid provisions. Therefore, it is directed to be recoverable form the society and accordingly has been included in the calculation of fund availability of the school.

On review of audited financial statements for the FY 2016-17, it has been observed that IV. the school has not routed its transport income and related expenditures through the income and expenditure account which is in contravention of order dated 16.04.2016. Therefore, the same has been considered in the evaluation of fee increase proposal.



Other Irregularities

- The school is charging depreciation as per rates prescribed by Income Tax Act, 1961 and not as per depreciation rates prescribed by the Guidance note on "Accounting by Schools" issued by ICAI. Therefore, the school is directed to follow Guidance Note- 21.
- The school is not complying with the DOE Order No.F.DE.15/Act-I/08155/2013/5506-5518 dated 04-06-2012 as well as the condition specified in the Land allotment letter which provides for 25% reservation to children belonging to EWS category in admission. Since the school is not complying with the aforesaid order of the DOE therefore, the concerned DDE is directed to look into the matter. As per School, the details of number of EWS students and total students in FY 2014-15, FY 2015-16 and FY 2016-17 are as under:

S.no.	Particulars	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17
1	Total Students	2,332	2,077	
2	EWS Students	325	259	2,182
3	% of EWS students	13.94%	12.47%	243
		15.94 /6	12.47%	11.149

- As per clause 18 of order no. F.DE. /15(56)/Act/2009/778 dated 11.02.2009, Caution money collected shall be kept deposited in a Scheduled Bank in the name of the concerned school and shall be returned to the student at the time of his/her leaving the school along with interest thereon. However, it is observed that the school is being refunding only principal amount of caution money with interest thereon which is in contravention of clause 18 of the order dated 11.2.2009. Thus, the school is directed to comply with the requirement of clause 18 of the order dated 11.02.2009.
- As per clause 4 of order No. DE./15/150/ACT/2010/4854-69 dated 09/09/2010, after the expiry of 30 days, the amount of un-refunded caution money belonging to ex-students shall be reflected as income in the next financial year and it shall not be shown as liability. Further, this income shall also be considered while projecting fee structure for ensuing academic year. However, the school has not considered the amount of un-refunded cation money as income in its proposed budget. The school is directed to comply with clause 4 of the order dated 09/09/2010.
- V. On review of audited financial statements for the FY 2014-15, 2015-16 and 2016-17, it has been observed that the school has huge amount of cash and bank balances as mentioned below. Hence it is recommended, that school is directed to invest these funds, income of which can be further utilised to meet the expenditure of the school. Details of cash and bank balances for the FY 2014-15, 2015-16 and 2016-17 are as follows:

7	(Figures in Rs.	
FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17
2,08,82,456	3,71,75,161	4,47,11,516
	FY 2014-15 2,08,82,456	0.00.00

VI. It has been observed that the school has incurred high amount of expenditure on purchase of furniture and fixture in the FY 2014-15 to 2016-17 whereas the total number of the students were not increased in the same proportion. Hence, the school management is directed to look into the matter and safeguard its assets as it appears that school is incurring excessive amount of expenditures on furniture and fixtures. The summary of the expenditure incurred by the school for purchase of furniture and fixture are as under.

(=

(Figures in Rs.) **Particulars** FY 2014-15 FY 2015-16 FY 2016-17 Furniture and Fixtures Opening Balance 26,74,787 36,37,875 82,54,032 Add: Additions made during the year 9,63,088 46, 16, 157 44,85,914 Less: Deletion made during the year Closing Balance 36,37,875 82,54,032 1,27,39,946 % of capital expenditure on opening 36.01% 126.89% 54.35% balance Number of students 2,332 2,077 2,182 % change in number of students -11% 5%

After detailed examination of all the material on record and considering the clarification submitted by the School, it was finally evaluated/ concluded that:

i. The total funds available for the FY 2017-18 amounting to Rs. 27,86,19,930 out of which cash outflow in the FY 2017-18 is estimated to be Rs. 22,26,23.113. This results in net balance of Surplus amounting to Rs. 5,59,96,817 for FY 2017-18 after all payments. The details are as follows:

(Figures in Rs.) **Particulars** Amount Cash and Bank balances as on 31.03.17 as per Audited Financial Statements 4,47,11,516 Investments as on 31.03.17 as per Audited Financial Statements 11,18,36,588 Add: Amount recoverable from society for construction of building during 2014-15 (Refer Observation III of Financial 39,55,457 Irregularity) Less: Outstanding balance of Caution Money as on 31-03-2017 1,71,500 Less: Development fee received in FY 2016-17 (Refer Note- 1) 1,26,42,130 Less: Earmarked investment for Gratuity Fund and leave encashment (Refer Note- 2) 1,40,07,120 Less: Investment made for DOE/MCD/CBSE 4,17,242 Total 13,32,65,569 Add: Fees of FY 2016-17 as per Audited Financial Statements (we have assumed that the amount received in FY 2016-17 will 13,55,61,156 at least accrue in FY 2017-18) Add: Other income of FY 2016-17 as per Audited Financial Statements (we have assumed that the amount received in FY 97,93,205 2016-17 will at least accrue in FY 2017-18) Estimated availability of funds for FY 2017-18 27,86,19,930 Less: Budgeted expenses for the session 2017-18 (Refer Note-3) 22,26,23,113 **Net Surplus** 5,59,96,817

Adjustments:

Note- 1: The Supreme Court in the matter of Modern School held that development fees for supplementing the resources for purchase, upgradation and replacements of furniture and fixtures and equipment can by charged from students by the recognized unaided schools not exceeding 15% of the total annual tuition fee. Further, the Directorate's circular no. 1978 dated 16 Apr 2010 states "All schools must, first of all, explore and exhaust the possibility of utilising the existing funds/ reserves to meet any shortfall in payment of salary and allowances, as a consequence of increase in the salary and allowance of the employees. A part of the reserve fund which has not been utilised for years together may also be used to meet the shortfall before proposing a fee increase." Over a number of years, the school has accumulated development fund and has reflected the closing balance of Rs.4,14,74,654 in its audited snancial statements of FY 2016-2017. Accordingly, the accumulated reserve of development rund created by the school by collecting development fee more than its requirement for purchase, upgradation and replacements of furniture and fixtures and equipment has been considered as free reserve available with the school for meeting the financial implication of 7th CPC to be implemented by the school. However, development fund equivalent to amount collected in FY 2016-2017 amounting Rs.1,26,42,130 from students has not been considered as fund available with the school.

Note- 2: The school has deposited Rs.1,40,07,120 with LIC towards gratuity and leave encashment on 14.05.2018. Hence the same has been considered in the above calculation.

Note 3: The school has proposed Rs. 2,53,55,800 on account of 4 months' salary reserve which has been considered in the evaluation of fee increase proposal. Since, the sufficient funds are available with the school therefore, the school is required to earmarked fund against this reserve and submit the proof of such investment (earmarked) within the stipulated time mentioned in the order.

 The School has sufficient funds to carry on the operation of the School for the academic session 2017-18 on the existing fees structure. In this regard, Directorate of Education has already issued directions to the Schools vide order dated 16/04/2010 that,

"All Schools must, first of all, explore and exhaust the possibility of utilising the existing funds/ reserves to meet any shortfall in payment of salary and allowances, as a consequence of increase in the salary and allowance of the employees. A part of the reserve fund which has not been utilised for years together may also be used to meet the shortfall before proposing a fee increase."

AND WHEREAS, in the light of above evaluation which is based on the provisions of DSEA, 1973, DSER, 1973, guidelines, orders and circulars issued from time to time by this Directorate, it was recommended by the team of Chartered Accountants that prima facie there are financial and other irregularities and also, sufficient funds are available with the School to meet its budgeted expenditure for the academic session 2017-18 including the impact of implementation of recommendations of 7th CPC, the fee increase proposal of the School may not be accepted.



1495

AND WHEREAS, recommendations of the team of Chartered Accountants along with relevant material were put before the Director of Education for consideration and who after considering all the material on the record, found that sufficient funds are available with the School to meet its budgeted expenditure for the academic session 2017-18 including the impact of implementation of recommendations of 7th CPC. Therefore, Director (Education) has rejected the proposal of fee increase submitted by the said School.

AND WHEREAS, it is also noticed that the School has incurred Rs.39,55,457 for construction of building which is in contravention of clause 2 of public notice dated 04-05-1997 read with Rule 177. Therefore, the school is directed to recover Rs.39,55,457 from the society. The amount of receipts along with copy of bank statements showing receipt of above mentioned amount should be submitted with DoE, in compliance of the same, within sixty days from the date of the order. Non-compliance of this shall be taken up as per DSEA&R, 1973.

Accordingly, it is hereby conveyed that the proposal of fee increase of Mount Abu Public School, Sector-5 Rohini, Delhi-110005 (School Id: 1413239) is rejected by the Director of Education. Further, the management of said school is hereby directed under section 24(3) of DSEAR 1973 to comply with the following directions:

- 1. Not to increase any fee in pursuance to the proposal submitted by School on any account including implementation of 7th CPC for the academic session 2017-18 and if the fee is already increased and charged for the academic session 2017-18, the same shall be refunded to the parents or adjusted in the fee of subsequent months.
- 2. To communicate the parents through its website, notice board and circular about rejection of fee increase proposal of the School by the Directorate of Education.
- 3. To rectify all the financial and other irregularities as listed above and submit the compliance report within 30 days to the D.D.E (PSB).
- 4. To ensure that the salaries and allowances shall come out from the fees whereas capital expenditure will be a charge on the savings in accordance with the principles laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court of Delhi in its Judgment of Modern School vs Union of India. Therefore, School not to include capital expenditure as a component of fee structure to be submitted by the School under section 17(3) of DSEA, 1973.
- To utilize the fee collected from students in accordance with the provisions of Rule 177 of the DSER, 1973 and orders and directions issued by this Directorate from time to time.
- In case of submission of any proposal for increase in fee for the next academic session, the compliance of the above listed financial and other irregularities/violations will also be attached.



Non-compliance of this order or any direction herein shall be viewed seriously and will be dealt with the provision of section 24(4) of DSEA, 1973 and DSER, 1973.

This is issued with the prior approval of the Competent Authority.

(Yogesh Pratap)
Deputy Director of Education
(Private School Branch)
Directorate of Education, GNCT of Delhi

To
The Manager/ HoS
Mount Abu Public School,
Sector-5 Rohini, Delhi-110005 (School Id: 1413239)

No. F.DE.15 (412)/PSB/2019 1430 - 1434

Dated: 29/03/19

Copy to:

- 1. P.S. to Secretary (Education), Directorate of Education, GNCT of Delhi.
- 2. P.S. to Director (Education), Directorate of Education, GNCT of Delhi.
- P.A. to Addl. Director of Education (Private School Branch), Directorate of Education, GNCT of Delhi.
- 4. DDE concerned
- 5. Guard file.

(Yogesh Pratap)

Deputy Director of Education

(Private School Branch)

Directorate of Education, GNCT of Delhi