GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF DELHI DIRECTORATE OF EDUCATION (PRIVATE SCHOOL BRANCH) OLD SECRETARIAT, DELHI-110054

No. F.DE.15 (62)/PSB/2020/ /68/ -/685

Dated: 3 3 202x

ORDER

WHEREAS, every school is required to file a full statement of fees every year before the ensuing academic session under section 17(3) of the Deihi School Education Act, 1973 (hereinafter read as 'the Act') with the Director. Such statement will indicate estimated income of the school derived from fees, estimated current operational expenses towards salaries and allowances payable to employees etc in terms of Rule 177(1) of the Delhi School Education Rules, 1973 (hereinafter read as 'the Rules').

AND WHEREAS, as per section 18(5) of the Act read with section 17(3), 24 (1) of the Act and Rule 180 (3) of the DSEA & R, 1973, responsibility has been conferred upon the Director (Education) to examine the audited financial, account and other records maintained by the school at least once in each financial year. The Section 18(5) and Section 24(1) of the Act and Rule 180 (3) have been reproduced as under:

Section 18(5): 'the managing committee of every recognised private school shall file every year with the Director such duly audited financial and other returns as may be prescribed, and every such return shall be audited by such authority as may be prescribed'

Section 24(1): 'every recognised school shall be inspected at least once in each financial year in such manner as may be prescribed'

Rule 180 (3): 'the account and other records maintained by an unaided private school shall be subject to examination by the auditors and inspecting officers authorised by the Director in this behalf and also by officers authorised by the Comptroller and Auditor-General of India.'

AND WHEREAS, besides the above, the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the judgment dated 27.04.2004 passed in Civil Appeal No. 2699 of 2001 titled Modern School Vs. Union of India and others has conclusively decided that under section 17(3), 18(4) read along with rule 172, 173, 175 and 177 of the Rules, Directorate of Education has the authority to regulate the fee and other charges to prevent the profiteering and commercialization of education.

AND WHEREAS, it was also directed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court to the Director of Education in the aforesaid matter titled Modern School Vs. Union of India and others in Para 27 and 28 in case of Private unaided Schools situated on the land allotted by DDA at concessional rates that:

"27....

- (c) It shall be the duty of the Director of Education to ascertain whether terms of allotment of land by the Government to the schools have been complied with...
- 28. We are directing the Director of Education to look into the letters of allotment issued by the Government and ascertain whether they (terms and conditions of land allotment) have been complied with by the schools......

Page 1 of 21

.....If in a given case, Director finds non-compliance of above terms, the Director shall take appropriate steps in this regard."

AND WHEREAS, the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide its judgement dated 19.01.2016 in writ petition No. 4109/2013 in the matter of Justice for All versus Govt. of NCT of Delhi and others has reiterated the aforesaid directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court and has directed the Director of Education to ensure the compliance of term, if any, in the letter of allotment regarding the increase of the fee by all the recognized unaided schools which are allotted land by DDA/ land owing agencies.

AND WHEREAS, accordingly, this Directorate vide order No. F.DE.15 (40)/PSB/2019/2698-2707 dated 27.03.2019, directed that all the Private Unaided Recognized Schools running on the land allotted by DDA/other Govt. agencies on concessional rates or otherwise, with the condition to seek prior approval of Director of Education for increase in fee, are directed to submit the their proposals, if any, for prior sanction for increase in fee for the session 2018-19 and 2019-20.

AND WHEREAS, in pursuance to order dated 27.03.2019 of this Directorate Laxman Public school (School ID- 1923249) Hauz Khas, New Delhi- 110016 had submitted the proposal for fee increase for the academic session 2018-2019. Accordingly, this order is dispensed off the proposal for enhancement of fee submitted by the said school for the academic session 2018-2019.

AND WHEREAS, in order to ensure that the proposals submitted by the Schools for fee increase are justified or not, this Directorate has evaluated the fee proposals of the School very carefully in accordance with the provisions of the DSEA, 1973, the DSER, 1973 and other orders/ circulars issued from time to time by this Directorate for fee regulation.

ND WHEREAS, in order to ensure that the proposals submitted by the schools for fee increase are justified or not, this Directorate has deployed teams of Chartered Accountants at HQ level who has evaluated the fee increase proposals of the school very carefully in accordance with the provisions of the DSEA, 1973, the DSER, 1973 and other orders/circulars issued from time to time by this Directorate for fee regulation.

AND WHEREAS, in the process of examination of fee hike proposal filed by the aforesaid School for the academic session 2019-2020, necessary records and explanations were also called from the school through email. Further, the school was also provided an opportunity of being heard on 20th November 2019 to present its justifications/ clarifications on fee increase proposal including audited financial statements and based on the discussion, school was further asked to submit necessary documents and clarification on various issues noted. During the aforesaid hearing compliances against order no. F.DE.15(598) PSB/2018/30325-29 dated 10.12.2018 issued by Directorate for academic session 2017-18 were also discussed and school submissions were taken on record.

AND WHEREAS, the reply of the school, documents uploaded on the web portal for fee increase together with subsequent documents/ clarifications submitted by the school were thoroughly evaluated by the team of Chartered Accountants. And based on evaluation of fee proposal of the school the key findings and status of compliance against order no. F.DE.15(598) PSB/2018/30325-29 dated 10.12.2018 issued by Directorate for academic session 2017-18 are as under:

	0 0 0 0	Cubusiasias of	Damasta
S.	Observations in the previous Order	Submission of the school	Remarks
No.	Financial Discrepancies	the school	
A.	Financial Discrepancies	School has	The seheel had
'-	As per direction no. 2 included in the Public Notice dated 4 May 1997, "it is the responsibility	submitted that it	The school had incurred the
	of the society who has established the school to	undertakes	incurred the capital
	raise such funds from their own sources or	necessary work	expenditure for
	donations from the other associations because	for the general	activity block and
	the immovable property of the school becomes	upkeep of	capitalised the
	the sole property of the society". Additionally,	existing	same in the
	Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in its judgement dated	facilities, facility	financial
	30 Oct 1998 in the case of Delhi Abibhavak	components,	statements.
	Mahasangh concluded that "The tuition fee	grounds, utility	Moreover, the
	cannot be fixed to recover capital expenditure to	systems. School	school has failed
	be incurred on the properties of the society." Also,	also spend on	to establish that it
	Clause (vii) (c) of Order No.	alteration which	has complied
	F.DE/15/Act/2K/243/KKK/ 883-1982 dated 10	includes all	Rule 177 of
	Feb 2005 issued by this Directorate states	minor	DSER, 1973 and
	"Capital expenditure cannot constitute a	construction,	incurred the said
	component of the financial fee structure."	changes in	expenditure after
		facilities	complying the
	Accordingly, based on the aforementioned public	configuration,	requirements laid
	notice and High Court judgement, the cost	fabrication,	down by Rule
	relating to land and construction of the school	modification,	177.
	building has to be met by the society, being the	removal, or	Moreover, the
	property of the society and school funds i.e. fee	installation of	plea taken by the
	collected from students is not to be utilised for the	hardware and	school that
	same.	equipment,	expenditure is in
	The Secretary of the second second	signs, erection,	the nature of
	The financial statements of the school for FY	relocation or	capital from
	2014-2015, FY 2015-2016 and FY 2016-2017	removal of	
	revealed that the school has incurred expenditure on construction of building and site development	partitions, doors	perspective and it
	out of school funds and benevolent funds and has	and windows and changes in	cannot be said to
	capitalised building and site development totalling	type of finishes	be the responsibility of
	to INR 1,66,13,844 in the aforesaid financial	and flooring	,
	years, which is not in accordance with the	materials to suit	parent society cannot be
	aforementioned provisions. Further, this capital	the current	accepted.
	expenditure was incurred on the building without	requirements.	acceptod.
	complying the requirements prescribed in Rule	The expenditure	The amount
	177 of DSER, 1973. This amount of INR	during FY 2014-	incurred for
	1,66,13,844 is hereby added to the fund position	15 to 2016-17	building has been
	of the school (enclosed in the later part of this	has been	duly capitalised
	order) considering the same as funds available	incurred mainly	by the school in
	with the school and with the direction to the	on renovation of	the financiai
	school to recover this amount from the Society.	activity block in	statements and

S.	Observations in the previous Order	Submission of	Remarks
No.	Cassivanioni in mo providuo orasi	the school	
		the school. The	which are
		said renovation	subsequently
		has been	audited by the
		incurred mainly	auditors of the
		on renovation of	
		activity block in	
		the school and	
		has been	as expenditure
		capitalised in	for building is
		compliance with general	need to be recovered from
		accounting	recovered from the society and
		standard.	will be
		Staridard.	considered as
		It is also	part of fund
		submitted by the	available with the
		school that	school.
		press note dated	
		04.05.1997 says	It is also noted
		that the	that the school
		obligation of	has incurred
		creating building	expenditure for
		to start a school	building and site
		is on the society	development
		and after	during FY 2017-
		completion of	
		building, student	53,07,881 and
		should not be burdened to	this capital
		burdened to contribute the	expenditure was incurred on the
		cost of that	building without
		building and	complying the
		Rule 177 of	requirements
		DSER, 1973	prescribed in
		provides to incur	Rule 177 of
		expenditure for	DSER, 1973.
		expansion and	This amount of
		development of	·
		the school while	hereby added to
		operational.	the fund position
		The expenditure	of the school
		is not on	considering the
		creation of any	same as funds
		new school	available with the
L		building or its	school and with

S. No.	Observations in the previous Order	Submission of the school	Remarks
140.		extension to accommodate	the direction to
		more students. Accordingly, although the	recover this amount from the Society within 30
		expenditure are in the nature of capital from accounting	
		perspective, it cannot be said to be the	
		responsibility of parent society.	
		Subsequently, school has submitted that it	
		has recovered Rs. 1.02 crores towards capital expenditure on	
		building renovation. Also, the	
		expenses on site development to	
		the extent of Rs. 64,24,294 is basically for	
		providing good facility for sports development	
		like good basketball court, cricket pitch,	
		sand pit for small students, and providing safe	
_		grounds by fixing of stones at different	
		places and also on Rain water	

S.	Observat	ions in the	previous	Order	1			Remarks	
No.					the s			-	
					harve	•	as	1	
					such		hese	1	
					exper		may		
					be all				
				20 11001 242	schoo			ļ	
2.				PC-4109/ PAR		-			
	1			6 issued to th			the		nas
		oost evalu			or School		Rs.		on
				-2017 noted tha			in		the
		•	-	ent were paid t					
	1			ase income from			esaid		as,
		•		ed between th		vation.	•		en
	1		•	najority part wa	1				as
	1	-	-	t transfers wer	1			fund availab	
	1			e 8 of order no				with the school	-
	1	/Duggal.cor		23033-2398	l l				
				23 of order no					
		•		d 11 Feb 2009	. 1				
	1	-		nount shall be	1				
	1		•	unaided schoo	1				
	other instit		ie society c	or the trust or an	/				
			during EV	2016 2017 the	.				
	1		•	2016-2017 tha ilding including	1				
				borne by the	1				
				the building was	- 1				
				veen the society	1				- 1
	1	hool respec		veen the society	'				
	1	•	-	ed by the schoo	,				
	1			-up of incomes					
	1			he school for FY	1				
				n table below:					
	The second of th								
			Income						
		Total	retained	Income					
		Income	by the	apportioned					
	Lessee	from		to School					
		Lease	(85% of	(15% of					
		(INR)	total	total income)					
			income)						
	L&T		43,50,300	7,67,700					
	FIITJEE	1,44,583			~				
	Skyline	30,000	25,500	4,500					

S.	Observations in the previous Order				Submission	of	Remarks
No.					the school		
	Talent						
	Invigoratio	l .					
	n & Sports						
	Total	53,71,783	45,66,016	8,05,767			
	·		00.0401.1		-		
				nereby added to			
	•		•	enclosed in the			
				ng the same as			
				and with the			
			to recover tr	nis amount from			
	the Society	,					
	Additionally	, according	n to the D	erpetual Lease			
				on which the			
				13) The lessee			
				ent of the lesser			
				on, on the said			
				on, on the salu า any trade or			
				same or permit			
	the same to	o be used i	for any nuri	oose other than			
	that of High	er Seconda	ary School o	or do or suffer to			
				or whatsoever			
				essor may be			
	nuisance, a	annovance (or disturban	ice to the lessor			
				hood. Provided			1-
				ng the said land			
				oose other than			
	that of Higl	her Second	ary school.	the lessor may			
	allow such	charge of	user on s	uch terms and			
	conditions	including	payment	of additional			
	premium a	nd addition	al yearly re	nt as the lessor			
	may in his	absolute dis	scretion det	ermine."	ų.		
	Further, a	ıs per Ru	ıle 50 -	'Condition for			
	Recognition	n' of DSE	ER, 1973 s	states "(ix) the			
	school bu	ildings or	other stru	ictures or the	- r.		
	grounds ar	e not to be ι	ısed during	the day or night			
	for comme	rcial or resid	lential purpo	oses (except for			
	the purpos	e of resider	nce of any e	employee of the			. 1
	school) oi	r for com	munal, po	litical or non-			
	eaucationa	activity o	of any kind	d whatsoever."			E25
1	Further, or	der No. Di	E.15/act/163	3/98/4940-5939			
1	dated 1	January 20	001 states	"It has been			Table .
1	observed to	nat a numbe	er of manag	ing committees			
	or governm	nent aided	and unaid	ed schools are			

S.	Observations in the previous Order	Submission of	Remarks
No.		the school	
No.	using the school premises for commercial purpose in violation of Rule 50(a). The prominer practices of commercial uses are (1) Running coaching centers / computer classes The has been viewed seriously. All the managing committees of government aided and unaided schools are hereby directed to discontinue such practices immediately." The school premises have been utilised/ leased out by the society for commercial purposes in non-compliance of the above provisions. Further, major part of the income received has been retained by the society, which has to be recovered by the school from the society.	al nt of is g d h s or e e e	
	The school is directed to ensure compliance in this regard and ensure that it complies with the terms and conditions of allotment of land by DD/ and recognition by DOE.	e A	
3.	Accounting Standard 15 - 'Employee Benefits issued by the Institute of Chartered Accountant of India states "Accounting for defined benefit plans is complex because actuarial assumption are required to measure the obligation and the expense and there is a possibility of actuariate gains and losses." It was noted that the school was not reflecting correct liability towards retirement benefits and corresponding investment in the books of accounts as per AS 15. The provision created by the school and liability for retirement benefits determined by actuary are enclosed below:	adopted the leave encashment policy of LIC of India w.e.f. 1st January 2019 in compliance of the order. The actuarial valuation by LIC	order dated 10.12.201`8 in the FY 2018-19
	Particulars Gratuity Leave Encashment Liability 4,99,14,616 * determined by actuary as on 1 Feb 2017 (as per actuarial valuation report) [A] Total 2,66,18,152 1,84,13,560 Provision as	2.88 crores as on 31 st December 2018. The school hereby requests the DOE to reduce the net surplus of Rs. 4,36,12,136 calculated by DOE by	On review of the audited financial statements for FY 2018-19 and the copy of actuarial valuation report for gratuity and leave encashment at the year ending on 31.03.2019, it is noted the

S.	Observations	in the previou	s Order		Submission of the school	Remarks
No.	-					inin
	on 31 March		considering Rs. 2,88,75,220 as	L		
	2017 (as per					,
	audited				per actuarial	
	financial				valuation report	
	statements)				of LIC of India in	1
	[B]				view of the Rule	
	Under	2,35,82,748	*			the actuary. Also,
	Provisioning				R, 1973.	
	of liability as	-			Regarding	made to the LIC
	on 31 March				discrepancies	is short of the
	2017 [A-B]				on accounting of	provisions
	Fund Value of	2,66,18,152	-		gratuity, the	created. The
	Group				school shall take	details are
	Gratuity				care of	follows:
	Scheme with				disclosure of	
	LIC as on 31				liabilities on	Gratuity provision
	March 2017				account of	as per audited
	Investment in	_	1,00,00,000		gratuity and	Balance Sheet as
	Fixed		.,00,00,000		leave	at 31.3.19 is Rs.
	Deposits with				encashment	8,03,79,028
	Bank				along with	
	(indicated as				corresponding	Gratuity liability
	earmarked by				investments in	
	the school	-			the financial	,
	towards				statement of FY	
	retirement				2018-19.	8,47,04,140.
	benefits) as				2010 10.	0, 17,01,110.
	on 31 March					Investments kept
	2017					with LIC against
			4 abtained by	Al		the provisions of
	school for leave		ot obtained by	tne		1
						Gratuity as at 31.3.2019 is Rs.
	While the school					
	of its liability to		·			3,10,14,381.
	school has no					
	gratuity in its bo		in accordance v	with		Leave
	the actuarial re	port.				encashment
						provision as per
	Further, accord					audited Balance
	Standard 15 –			Sheet as at		
			ants of India, "F	Plan		31.3.19 is Rs.
	assets compris					3,19,83,899.
			ng-term emplo	yee		
		fund; and				As per audited
	(b) qualifyir	ng insurance po	olicies."			financial
		,				statement for FY

S.	Observations in the previous Order	Submission of	Remarks
o. No.		the school	
No.	Accordingly, the investment in the form of FDR maintained by the school in respect of the liability of the school towards leave encashment does not qualify as 'Plan Assets' within the meaning of Accounting Standard 15 (AS-15). The school is directed to get the actuarial valuation of leave encashment and make the investments that qualify as 'Plan Assets' within 30 days from the date of this order. Further, it was noted that the school recorded the amount paid towards group gratuity scheme as an expense in its books of account instead of recording the same as investment and did not reflect the fund value of the scheme as on 31 Mar 2017 as an asset in its audited financial statements for FY 2016-2017. Thus, the school has understated both the asset and liability towards retirement benefits in its financial statements for FY 2016-2017. The school is directed to accurately disclose its liabilities on account of gratuity and leave encashment along with corresponding investments in the financial statements.		2018-19, School has deposited Rs. 5,00,000 to the LIC for leave encashment liability. Accordingly, amount deposited to LIC for gratuity and leave encashment liability has been considered while deriving the fund position of the school.
	In absence of actuarial valuation and defined plan-asset for leave encashment, FDR indicated as earmarked by the school towards the same of INR 1,00,00,000 has not been considered while deriving the fund position of the school. However, the expenses towards retirement benefits budgeted by the school for FY 2017-2018 have been considered while deriving the fund position of the school (enclosed in the later part of this order).		
4.	Rule 177 of DSER,1973 states "(1) Income	The payment	School is
	derived by an unaided recognised school by way of fees shall be utilised in the first instance, for meeting the pay, allowances, and other benefits admissible to the employees of the school. Provided that savings, if any from the fees collected by such school may be utilised by its managing committee for meeting for meeting the capital or contingent expenditure of the school, or	has been made to the students, for their benefits and motivation. The award was made under bonafide believe of its allowability.	required to comply with the provisions of Rule 177 of DSER, 1973 and accordingly, recover this amount from the society as

S.	Observations in the previous Order	Submission of	Remarks
No.	observations in the previous Order	the school	Kemarks
	for one or more of the following educational		directed in the
	purposes, namely:	Treating these kind of awards to	directed in the order dated
	award of the scholarships to students,		10.12.2018.
	2. establishment of any other recognised	students as "non-	10.12.2016.
	school, or	compliance" will	
	3. assisting any other school or educational	demotivate the	
	institution, not being a college, under the	school to carry	
	management of the same society or trust	on these	
	by which the first mentioned school is run.	practices, which	
	(2) The savings referred to in sub-rule (1)	are motivational	
	shall be arrived at after providing for the	and beneficial to	
	following, namely :-	the students.	
	(a) pension, gratuity and other specified	School has	
	retirement and other benefits admissible	subsequently	
	to the employees of the school,	submitted that it	
	(b) the needed expansion of the school or	has	
	any expenditure of a development nature,	discontinued	
	(c) the expansion of the school building or for	giving monetary	
	the expansion or construction of any	Scholarships to	
	building or establishment of hostel or	the students	
	expansion or construction of any building	from 2018	
	or establishment of hostel or expansion of	onwards.	
	hostel accommodation,		
	(d) co-curricular activities of the students,		
	(e) reasonable reserve fund, not being less	-	
	than ten percent, of such savings."		
	It was observed that the school had paid INR 2.66		
	lakhs as scholarships to students during FY		
	2016-2017, which as per above mentioned rule is		
	inappropriate considering that the school has not		
	complied with the requirements of sub-rule 2 of		
	Rule 177. The school explained that the		
	scholarships were given to meritorious students.		
	The school has paid the scholarship in		
	nonconformity of legal provisions. Accordingly,		
	this amount of INR 2.66 lakhs is hereby added to		
	the fund position of the school (enclosed in later		
	part of this order) considering the same as funds available with the school and with the direction to		
	the school to recover this amount from the		
	Society and ensure compliance with prescribed		
	rules.		
В			

S. Observations in the previous Order	Submission of	Remarks
No.	the school	
No. 1. Rule 176 - 'Collections for specific purposes to be spent for that purpose' of the DSER, 1973 states "Income derived from collections for specific purposes shall be spent only for such purpose." Para no. 22 of Order No. F.DE./15(56)/ Act/2009/778 dated 11 Feb 2009 states "Earmarked levies will be calculated and collected on 'no-profit no loss' basis and spent only for the purpose for which they are being charged." Sub-rule 3 of Rule 177 of DSER, 1973 states "Funds collected for specific purposes, like sports, co-curricular activities, subscriptions for excursions or subscriptions for magazines, and annual charges, by whatever name called, shall be spent solely for the exclusive benefit of the students of the concerned school and shall not be included in the savings referred to in sub-rule (2)." Further, Sub-rule 4 of the said rule states "The collections referred to in sub-rule (3) shall be administered in the same manner as the monies standing to the credit of the Pupils Fund as administered." Also, earmarked levies collected from students are a form of restricted funds, which, according to Guidance Note on Accounting by Schools issued by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India, are required to be credited to a separate fund account when the amount is received and reflected separately in the Balance Sheet. Further, the aforementioned Guidance Note lays down the concept of fund based accounting for restricted funds, whereby upon incurrence of expenditure, the same is charged to the Income and Expenditure Account ('Restricted Funds' column) and a corresponding amount is transferred from the concerned restricted funds' column) and a corresponding amount is transferred from the concerned restricted Funds' column).	The school hereby ensures to make fund base accounting for earmarked levies and consider the surplus/ deficit in particular earmarked levy before proposing hiked fee for subsequent year. The Income and Expenditure a/c is accounted for separately. However, in the financial from 2018-19 onwards, a separate column will be inserted for transport A/c. The NIE fee shall be reduced considering the actual expenses.	The school is required to comply with the directions given in relation to earmarked levies charged from the students. Also, school need to follow fund based accounting for earmarked levies charged and collected from the students.

S.	Observatio	ns in the p	revious O	rder	Submission of	Remarks
No.	Observations in the previous Order				the school	iveillative
, and the later of	From the inf	formation pr	vd habivo	the school and	110 3011001	
	taken on rec	ord, it has h	een noted	that the school		
				the form of		
				from students.		
				tained separate		
	1			d levies and the		
	1			surplus from		
				en utilised for		
				hool. Details of	l .	
	calculation	of surplus,	based o	on breakup of		
	expenditure	provided by	y the scho	ol for FY 2016-		
	2017 is give	n below:				
	Earmarked	Income	Expenses	Surplus (INR)		
	Fee	(INR)	(INR)			
		Α	В	C=A-B		
	Transport	2,09,65,550	1,71,11,068	38,54,482		
	Fees^	0.54.400	0.75.000	0.70.400		
	NIE Fee	9,54,433				
	1	•	•	depreciation on		
	1			students in the		
	1			or creating fund		
	,		•	h should have		
	been done t	to ensure th	at the cos	t of vehicles is		
	apportioned	to the stud	dents using	g the transport		
	facility durin	g the life of	the vehicle	es.		
	The school ex	xplained tha	t tuition fee	collected from		
	students is no	ot sufficient t	o meet the	establishment		
	cost and ann	ual charges	are also r	not sufficient to		
	1			e school. Thus,		
	1	•		ked levies has		
	, ,			establishment		
	1		•	ount of which		
		•		could not be		
1				ntained by the		
				•		
		cordingly,		,		
L 1 - 1	earmarked f	•				
	budgeted in		d budget			
	(including the					
	deriving the fund position of the school (enclosed					
1	in the later pa	art of this ord				
	The school is	directed to	maintain	separate fund		
		•		ount collected,	-	
	1	ad and ha	lance am	ount for each		

S.	Observations in the		<u> </u>		T
S. No.	Observations in the	previous	Order	Submission of	Remarks
NO.			the school		
	-		from students		
	Unintentional surplus				
	earmarked levies has	s to be util	ized or adjuste	d l	
	against earmarked fee	es collected	from the users i	n	
	the subsequent year				
	directed to evaluate co				
	levy and propose the				
	1	bsequent	proposal fo		
	enhancement of fee	•			
	levies have been cal	_	• •		
		culated off	no-pront no-ios	•	
<u></u>	basis.	= ,	0	11 1 - 1 - 144 1	A - man Onder No
2.		•			
	DE.15/Act/Duggal.Co				
	dated 15 Dec 1999,				
	fund that recognised	•		_	
	collect from the stude	ents/ parent	ts, which include	: only from the	23980 dated 15
	- Registration I	-ee		new students,	Dec 1999 school
	- Admission Fe	ee		one time and not	cannot charge
	- Caution Mone	Э у		from all the	fee in the name of
	- Tuition Fee			students, which	'Terminal benefit
	- Annual Charg	jes		is part of fee	fund'.
	- Earmarked L	evies		structure	
	- Development	Fee		approved in	Thus, the school
	Further, clause no. 9		ementioned orde	r adherence to	1
	states "No fee, fun				
	whatever name calle	-	-	' '	
	unless it is deter	•		•	
	Committee in acco	-	•		
	contained in this orde		ii tiio anootioii	our fee hike	with immediate
		<i>31</i>		proposal for the	
	The aforementioned	order was a	lea unhald by th		GIIGOL.
	Hon'ble Supreme C			1 *	
	School vs Union of Ir				
	School vs Official of It	idia & Ollie	13.	The second of th	
	It was noted that the	achool's for	otruoturo in alcel	LIC of India	
				121121121212111	
	'Terminal Benefit Fu		•		
	the all students a		3		
	submitted by the sci				
	leave encashment		f invested with		
	collection and utilizat				
	provided by the so	nool for F			
	included hereunder:		fund available to		
	Particulars	Nature	Amount	meet the past	
	Terminal Benefit	Income	62,40,000	gratuity liability,	
	Tommar Deficit	moone	02,40,000	permission is	

S.	Observations in the province			
No.	Observations in the previous Order		Submission of	Remarks
110.	110000		the school	
	Leave encashment Expense	-	required to	
	Net surplus reflected by	62,40,000	continue	
	school	02,40,000	collecting this	
	3011001		fee.	
	Based on the fact that the fee had benefit. Fund' has not beed recognised private unaided as purpose for which the school would be utilised is not approprience on the feet fund from students with immediate purpose of evaluation of the feet for the fund position of the school of of the	en defined for school and the has indicated it ate, being leave ment expenses fee. Thus, the terminal benefits te effect. For the hike proposal for ationed fee has ne while deriving		
	later part of this order).	(enclosed in the		
3.	Clause 14 of this Directorat	e's Order No	From 2018-19,	School
	F.DE./15 (56)/ Act/2009/778 data states "Development fee, not ex the total annual tuition fee may supplementing the resources upgradation and replacement of fand equipment." Incorrect development fund was indidirectorate's order no. F. DE-4109/PART/13/944 dated 4 Octol post evaluation of the proposal for of fee for the academic year 2016 by the school. Further, it was observed that the incurred expenditure on purchase 42,186 during FY 2016-2017 ar same as utilisation of development audited financial statements for which is not in accordance with included in above order. The school is directed to follow DO	ceeding 15% of be charged for for purchase, furniture, fixtures utilisation of cated in this 15/ACT-I/WPC-ber 2017 issued or enhancement 2017 submitted the school had of books of INR and reflected the ent fund in the FY 2016-2017, h the direction	out of development fees.	submission is taken on record.
	this regard and ensure that deve utilised only towards purchase of and equipment.	lopment fund is		

S.	Observations in the		
	Observations in the previous Order	Submission of	Remarks
	Dec. 00 (0)	the school	
4.	Para 99 of Guidance Note on Accounting by Schools (2005) issued by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India states "Where the fund is meant for meeting capital expenditure upon incurrence of the expenditure the relevant asset account is debited which is depreciated as per the recommendations contained in this Guidance Note. Thereafter the concerned restricted fund account is treated as deferred income to the extent of the cost of the asset and is transferred to the credit of the income and expenditure account in proportion to the depreciation charged every year." Basis the presentation made in the audited financial statements for FY 2016-2017 submitted by the school it was noted that the school transferred an amount equivalent to the purchase cost of the assets from development fund to general reserve instead of accounting treatment as indicated in the guidance note cited above. Also, the school has enclosed a consolidated fixed assets schedule giving details of all assets carried over by the school in its audited financial	the school The development fees shall be utilised and accounted for in the financial statements for	School submission is taken on record.
5	statement for FY 2016-2017 and has not prepared separate fixed assets schedules for assets purchased against development fund and those purchased against general reserve. This being a procedural finding, the school is instructed to make necessary rectification entries relating to development fund to comply with the accounting treatment indicated in the Guidance Note. Further, the school should prepare separate fixed assets schedule for assets purchased against development fund and other assets purchased against general reserve/ fund. The school has not prepared Fixed Assets	It ∳s under	School
	Register (FAR) for keeping track of fixed assets purchased by it. The school should prepare a FAR, which should include details such as asset description, purchase date, supplier name, invoice number, manufacturer's serial number, location, purchase cost, other costs incurred, depreciation, asset identification number, etc. to	it ⊕s under preparation.	School submission is taken on record and the FAR shall be examined at the time of evaluation of fee

	the school	Remarks
facilitate identification of asset and documenting complete details of assets at one place. During the personal hearing, school mentioned that it will start preparing FAR from FY 2018-2019 onwards. The school is directed to prepare the FAR with relevant details mentioned above.		proposal for FY 2020-21.
Direction no. 3 of the public notice dated 4 May 1997 published in the Times of India states "No security/ deposit/ caution money be taken from the students at the time of admission and if at all it is considered necessary it should be taken once and at the nominal rate of INR 500 per student in any case and it should be returned to the students at the time of leaving the school along with the interest at the bank rate." Further Clause 18 of Order no F.DE/15(56)/Act/2009/778 dated 11 Feb 2009 states "No caution money/security deposit of more than five hundred rupees per student shall	The school charges caution money @Rs. 500 and kept it in separate bank account and being refunded to the students with Saving interest. School has transferred Rs. 18,98,343 to Income A/c	School submission is taken on record and therefore, balance amount refundable to the student has been deducted while evaluating the fund position of the school.
be charged. The caution money thus collected shall be kept deposited in a scheduled bank in the name of the concerned school and shall be returned to the student at the time of his/her leaving the school along with the bank interest thereon irrespective of whether or not he/she requests for refund."	under miscellaneous receipts.	
However, the school had not maintained separate bank account for deposit of caution money collected. Also, the school had not treated the unrefunded money as income after the expiry of 30 days from the date of communication to the student to collect the same. During the personal hearing, the school mentioned that it has taken all necessary steps in FY 2018-2019 to refund caution money collected from students who have already left the school in the past. Thus, based on the explanation provided by the school, the school is directed to ensure that caution money is refunded or booked as income during FY 2018-2019 and no amount is carried forward on account of caution money		
	complete details of assets at one place. During the personal hearing, school mentioned that it will start preparing FAR from FY 2018-2019 onwards. The school is directed to prepare the FAR with relevant details mentioned above. Direction no. 3 of the public notice dated 4 May 1997 published in the Times of India states "No security/ deposit/ caution money be taken from the students at the time of admission and if at all it is considered necessary it should be taken once and at the nominal rate of INR 500 per student in any case and it should be returned to the students at the time of leaving the school along with the interest at the bank rate." Further Clause 18 of Order no F.DE/15(56)/Act/2009/778 dated 11 Feb 2009 states "No caution money/security deposit of more than five hundred rupees per student shall be charged. The caution money thus collected shall be kept deposited in a scheduled bank in the name of the concerned school and shall be returned to the student at the time of his/her leaving the school along with the bank interest thereon irrespective of whether or not he/she requests for refund." However, the school had not maintained separate bank account for deposit of caution money collected. Also, the school had not treated the unrefunded money as income after the expiry of 30 days from the date of communication to the student to collect the same. During the personal hearing, the school mentioned that it has taken all necessary steps in FY 2018-2019 to refund caution money collected from students who have already left the school in the past. Thus, based on the explanation provided by the school, the school is directed to ensure that caution money is refunded or booked as income during FY 2018-2019 and no amount	complete details of assets at one place. During the personal hearing, school mentioned that it will start preparing FAR from FY 2018-2019 onwards. The school is directed to prepare the FAR with relevant details mentioned above. Direction no. 3 of the public notice dated 4 May 1997 published in the Times of India states "No security/ deposit/ caution money be taken from the students at the time of admission and if at all it is considered necessary it should be taken once and at the nominal rate of INR 500 per student in any case and it should be returned to the students at the time of leaving the school along with the interest at the bank rate." Further Clause 18 of Order no F.DE/15(56)/Act/2009/778 dated 11 Feb 2009 states "No caution money/security deposit of more than five hundred rupees per student shall be charged. The caution money thus collected shall be kept deposited in a scheduled bank in the name of the concerned school and shall be returned to the student at the time of his/her leaving the school along with the bank interest thereon irrespective of whether or not he/she requests for refund." However, the school had not maintained separate bank account for deposit of caution money collected. Also, the school had not treated the unrefunded money as income after the expiry of 30 days from the date of communication to the student to collect the same. During the personal hearing, the school in the past. Thus, based on the explanation provided by the school, the school is directed to ensure that caution money is refunded or booked as income during FY 2018-2019 and no amount is carried forward on account of caution money pertaining to students who have already left the

S. No.	Observations in the previous Order	Submission of the school	Remarks
	at the time of next fee hike proposal submitted by school.		

After detailed examination of all the material on record and considering the clarification submitted by the School, it was finally evaluated/ concluded that:

i. The total funds available for the FY 2018-19 amounting to Rs. 28,70,73,369 out of which cash outflow in the FY 2018-19 is estimated to be Rs. 23,87,64,469. This results in net balance of Surplus amounting to Rs. 4,83,08,900 for FY 2018-19 after all payments. The details are as follows:

Particulars	Amount in Rs.
Cash and Bank balances as on 31.03.18 (as per audited Financial Statements of FY 2017-18)	2,09,84,567
Investments (Fixed Deposits) as on 31.03.18 (as per audited Financial Statements of FY 2017-18)	6,39,99,788
Add: Amount deposited with LIC as Fund value - Group Gratuity as on 31.03.2019 (as per audited financial statements for FY 2018-19)	3,10,14,381
Add: Amount deposited with LIC as Fund value - Leave Encashment as on 31 03 2019 (as per audited Financial Statements of FY 2018-19)	5,00,000
Add: 1880 units of UTI @100 each (as per audited Financial Statements of FY 2018-19)	2,29,360
Add: Recovery from society towards additions to Building & Site Development during FY 2017-18 (as per audited Financial Statements of FY 2017-18) (as per last year order)	53,07,881
Add: Recovery from society towards additions to Building & Site Development during from FY 2014-2015 to 2016-2017 (as per last year order)	1,66,13,844
Add: Recovery of rent & lease income retained by LPS society (as per last year order)	45,66,016
Add: Scholarship paid to students in non-conformity of prescribed Rules to be recovered from Society	2,66,000
<u>Less</u> : Amount deposited with LIC as Fund Value - Group Gratuity as on 31.03.2019	3,10,14,381
<u>Less</u> : Amount deposited with LIC as Fund Value - Leave Encashment as on 31.03.2019	5,00,000
Less: FDR against CBSE Fund as on 31.03.2018 (as per audited financial statements for FY 2017-18)	8,50,000
Less: FDR against Scholarship Fund (for Mahindra Scholarship Fund) as on 31.03.2018	2,29,360
Less: Development Fund balance as on 31.03.2019 (as per audited financial statements of FY 2018-19) (Refer Note 1 given below)	1,75,80,773
<u>Less</u> : Caution Money balance as on 31.03.2019 (as per audited financial statements of FY 2018-19)	17,41,762
Available funds	9,15,65,561

Particulars	Amount in
	Rs.
Fees for 2018-19 as per audited Financial Statements	18,44,49,755
Other income for 2018-19 as per audited Financial Statements (as per Note	
2 below)	1,10,58,053
Estimated availability of funds for 2018-19	28,70,73,369
Total cash outflow except 7th CPC impact on salaries	
(Revenue Expenditure + Capital Expenditure - Depreciation)	18,71,08,303
(Refer observation 3 under financial discrepancies and Note 3 below)	
Less: Provision for arrears of salary as per 7th CPC (Upto FY 2018-19)	5,16,56,166
(as per Note 4 below)	3,10,56,166
Estimated Surplus/ (Deficit)	4,83,08,900

Note 1: The Supreme Court in the matter of Modern School held that development fees for supplementing the resources for purchase, upgradation and replacements of furniture and fixtures and equipment can be charged from students by the recognized unaided schools not exceeding 15% of the total annual tuition fee. Further, the Directorate's circular no. 1978 dated 16 April, 2010 states "All schools must, first of all, explore and exhaust the possibility of utilising the existing funds/ reserves to meet any shortfall in payment of salary and allowances, as a consequence of increase in the salary and allowance of the employees. A part of the reserve fund which has not been utilised for years together may also be used to meet the shortfall before proposing a fee increase." Over a number of years, the school has accumulated development fund and has reflected the closing balance of Rs. 7,57,60,101 in its audited financial statements of FY 2017-2018. Accordingly, the accumulated reserve of development fund created by the school by collecting development fee more than its requirement for purchase, upgradation and replacement of furniture and fixtures and equipment has been considered as free reserve available with the school for meeting the financial implication of 7th CPC to be implemented by the school. However, development fund equivalent to amount collected in one year (FY 2018-2019) from students has been considered for deriving the fund position of the school, which is considered sufficient basis the spending pattern of the school in past.

Note 2: In the other income for the FY 2018-19 includes income for caution money written back, amount of depreciation written back and amount transferred from development fund to the credit of Income and expenditure account. The total adjustments were made for Rs. 3,01,17,201 during the year 2018-19. Since, these items are of non-cash nature and therefore these have not been considered in the other income.

Note 3: (a) Amount provided as 'Provision for Gratuity' and 'Provision for Leave encashment' have been allowed to the extent of investments made to the LIC as per the audited financial statements for FY 2018-19 and therefore, amount provided for gratuity and leave encashment in FY 2018-19 amounting Rs. 2,59,15,034 and Rs. 53,63,743 respectively have not been considered above as the outflow for the FY 2018-19.

(b) Depreciation being a non-cash expenditure has not been considered as the expenditure of the school.

Note 4: For FY 2018-19 school has provided for arrears of salary as per 7th CPC amounting Rs. 2,65,96,780. As per audited financial statements of the school for FY 2018-19 after

payment of Rs. 1,70,21,062 the provision for arrears of 7th CPC was Rs. 5,16,56,166 at the year end. In the calculation of fund position of the school for session 2018-19, the provision for arrears for 7th CPC has, thus, been reduced.

ii. The School has sufficient funds to carry on the operation of the School for the academic session 2018-19 on the existing fees structure. In this regard, Directorate of Education has already issued directions to the Schools vide order dated 16/04/2010 that,

"All Schools must, first of all, explore and exhaust the possibility of utilising the existing funds/ reserves to meet any shortfall in payment of salary and allowances, as a consequence of increase in the salary and allowance of the employees. A part of the reserve fund which has not been utilised for years together may also be used to meet the shortfall before proposing a fee increase."

AND WHEREAS, in the light of above evaluation which is based on the provisions of DSEA, 1973, DSER, 1973, guidelines, orders and circulars issued from time to time by this Directorate, it was recommended by the team of Chartered Accountants that along with certain financial and other irregularities, that the sufficient funds are available with the school to carry out its operations for the academic session 2018-19. Accordingly, the fee increase proposal of the school may be rejected.

AND WHEREAS, all relevant materials were put before the Director of Education for consideration and who after considering all the material on the record, and after considering the provisions of section 17 (3), 18(5), 24(1) of the DSEA, 1973 read with Rules 172, 173, 175 and 177 of the DSER, 1973 found that sufficient funds are available with the School to meet its expenditure for session. Therefore, Director (Education) has rejected the proposal of fee increase submitted by the said School.

Accordingly, it is hereby conveyed that the proposal of fee increase Laxman Public School (School ID- 1923249) Hauz Khas, New Delhi- 110016 is rejected by the Director of Education. Further, the management of said School is hereby directed under section 24(3) of DSEAR 1973 to comply with the following directions:

- Not to increase any fee in pursuance to the proposal submitted by school on any account for the academic session 2018-19 and if the fee is already increased and charged for the academic session 2018-19, the same shall be refunded to the parents or adjusted in the fee of subsequent months.
- 2. To communicate the parents through its website, notice board and circular about rejection of fee increase proposal of the school by the Directorate of Education.
- 3. To rectify all the financial and other irregularities/violations as listed above and submit the compliance report within 30 days to the D.D.E (PSB).
- 4. To ensure that the salaries and allowances shall come out from the fees whereas capital expenditure will be a charge on the savings in accordance with the principles laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court of Delhi in its Judgment of Modern School vs Union of India.

Therefore, school not to include capital expenditure as a component of fee structure to be submitted by the school under section 17(3) of DSEA, 1973.

- 5. To utilise the fee collected from students in accordance with the provisions of Rule 177 of the DSER, 1973 and orders and directions issued by this Directorate from time to time.
- 6. In case of submission of any proposal for increase in fee for the next academic session, the compliance of the above listed financial and other irregularities/violations will also be attached.

Non-compliance of this order or any direction herein shall be viewed seriously and will be dealt with in accordance with the provisions of section 24(4) of Delhi School Education Act, 1973 and Delhi School Education Rules, 1973.

This is issued with the prior approval of the Competent Authority.

(Yogesh Pratap)

Deputy Director of Education

(Private School Branch)

Directorate of Education, GNCT of Delhi

To The Manager/ HoS Laxman Public school (School ID- 1923249) Hauz Khas, New Delhi- 110016

No. F.DE.15 (62)/PSB/2020/1681+1685

Dated: 63/03/2020

Copy to:

- 1. P.S. to Secretary (Education), Directorate of Education, GNCT of Delhi.
- 2. P.S. to Director (Education), Directorate of Education, GNCT of Delhi.
- 3. P.A. to Addl. Director of Education (Private School Branch), Directorate of Education, GNCT of Delhi.
- 4. DDE concerned
- 5. Guard file.

(Yogesh Pratap)

Deputy Director of Education
(Private School Branch)

Directorate of Education, GNCT of Delhi