## 'GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF DELHI DIRECTORATE OF EDUCATION (PRIVATE SCHOOL BRANCH) OLD SECRETARIAT, DELHI-110054

No. F.DE.15(875/PSB/2022/606) - 6065

Dated: 28 07 22

## **ORDER**

WHEREAS Saai Memorial School, Sai Bhawan, Geeta Colony Near Petrol Pump, Delhi – 110031 (School Id: 1003239), (hereinafter referred to as "the School"), run by the Sai Memorial Education Society (hereinafter referred to as "Society"), is a private unaided School recognized by the Directorate of Education, Govt. of NCT of Delhi (hereinafter referred to as "DoE"), under the provisions of Delhi School Education Act & Rules, 1973 (hereinafter referred to as "DSEAR, 1973"). The School is statutorily bound to comply with the provisions of the DSEAR, 1973 and RTE Act, 2009, as well as the directions/guidelines issued by the DoE from time to time.

AND WHEREAS, every School is required to file a full statement of fees every year before the ensuing academic session under section 17(3) of the DSEA, 1973 to the DoE. Such full statement of fee is required to indicate estimated income of the school to be derived from the fees and estimated operational expenses to be incurred during the ensuing year towards salaries and allowances payable to employees etc in terms of Rule 177(1) of the DSER, 1973.

AND WHEREAS, as per Section 18(5) read with Sections 17(3), 24 (1) and Rule 180 (3) of the above DSEAR, 1973, responsibility has been conferred upon to the DoE to examine the audited financial statements, books of accounts and other records maintained by the school at least once in each financial year. Sections 18(5) and 24(1) and Rule 180 (3) of DSEAR, 1973 have been reproduced as under:

Section 18(5): 'the managing committee of every recognised private School shall file every year with the Director such duly audited financial and other returns as may be prescribed, and every such return shall be audited by such authority as may be prescribed'

Section 24(1): 'every recognised School shall be inspected at least once in each financial year in such manner as may be prescribed'

Rule 180 (3): 'the account and other records maintained by an unaided private School shall be subject to examination by the auditors and inspecting officers authorised by the Director in this behalf and also by officers authorised by the Comptroller and Auditor-General of India.'

AND WHEREAS, besides the above, the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the judgment dated 27.04.2004 held in Civil Appeal No. 2699 of 2001 titled Modern School Vs. Union of India and others has conclusively decided that under Sections 17(3), 18(4) read along with Rules 172, 173, 175 and 177, the DoE has the authority to regulate the fee and other charges, with the objectives of preventing profiteering and commercialization of education.

AND WHEREAS, it was also directed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, that the DoE in the aforesaid matter titled Modern School Vs. Union of India and Others in paras 27 and 28 in case of private unaided recognized Schools situated on the land allotted by DDA at concessional rates that:



"27 (c) It shall be the duty of the Director of Education to ascertain whether terms of allotment of land by the Government to the Schools have been complied with...

28. We are directing the Director of Education to look into the letters of allotment issued by the Government and ascertain whether they (terms and conditions of land allotment) have been complied with by the Schools......

.....If in a given case, Director finds non-compliance of above terms, the Director shall take appropriate steps in this regard."

AND WHEREAS, the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide its judgement dated 19.01.2016 in the Writ Petition No. 4109/2013 in the matter of Justice for All vs. Govt. of NCT of Delhi and Others, has reiterated the aforesaid directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court and has directed the DoE to ensure compliance of terms, if any, in the letter of allotment regarding the increase of the fee by private unaided recognized Schools to whom land has been allotted by the DDA/ land owning agencies.

AND WHEREAS, accordingly, the DoE vide order No. F.DE.15 (40)/PSB/2019/2698-2707 dated 27.03.2019, directed to all the private unaided recognized Schools, running on the land allotted by the DDA/other land owning agencies on concessional rates or otherwise, with the condition to seek prior approval of DoE for increase in fee, to submit their proposals, if any, for prior sanction, for increase in fee for the session 2018-19 and 2019-20.

AND WHEREAS, in pursuance to order dated 27.03.2019 of the DoE, the School submitted its proposal for enhancement of fee for the academic session 2019-20. Accordingly, this Order dispenses the proposal for enhancement of fee submitted by the school for the academic session 2019-20.

AND WHEREAS, in order to examine the proposals submitted by the Schools for fee increase for justifiability or not, the DoE has deployed teams of Chartered Accountants at HQ level who has evaluated the fee increase proposals of the School carefully in accordance with the provisions of the DSEAR, 1973, and other Orders/ Circulars issued from time to time by the DoE for fee regulation.

AND WHEREAS, in the process of examination of fee hike proposal filed by the aforesaid School for the academic session 2019-20, necessary records and explanations were also called from the School through email. Further, the School was also provided an opportunity to be heard on 06.07.2022 to present its justifications/ clarifications on fee increase proposal including audited financial statements. Based on discussions, the School was further asked to submit necessary documents and clarification on various issues. During the aforesaid hearing, compliances against Order No. F.DE-15/(588)/PSB/2018/30924-29 dated 30.11.2018, issued for academic session 2017-18, was also discussed and submissions taken on record.

AND WHEREAS, the response of the School along with documents uploaded on the web portal for fee increase, and subsequent documents submitted by the School, were evaluated by the team of Chartered Accountants; the key observations noted are as under:

## A. Financial Observations

1. As per Rule 177 of DSER,1973 states "income derived by an unaided private recognised School by way of fees shall be utilised in the first instance, for meeting the pay, allowances and other benefits admissible to the employees of the school. Provided that savings, if any, from the fees collected by such school may be utilised by its management committee for meeting capital or contingent expenditure of the school, or for one or more of the following educational purposes,

Page 2 of 14

namely award of scholarships to students, establishment of any other recognised school, or assisting any other school or educational institution, not being a college, under the management of the same society or trust by which the first mentioned school is run. The abovementioned savings shall be arrived at after providing for the following, namely:

- a) Pension, gratuity and other specified retirement and other benefits admissible to the employees of the school;
- b) The needed expansion of the school or any expenditure of a developmental nature;
- c) The expansion of the school building or for the expansion or construction of any building or establishment of hostel or expansion of hostel accommodation;
- d) Co-curricular activities of the students;
- e) Reasonable reserve fund, not being less than ten percent, of such savings."

The DoE through order no. F.DE-15/(588)/PSB/2018/30924-29 dated 30.11.2018 issued for academic session 2017-18 directed to the school to recover INR 13,81,769 from the society for purchase of the vehicle out of the school funds and this expenditure was incurred without complying with the requirements of Rule 177 of DSEAR, 1973. However, this amount is still pending for recovery.

The school in its reply submitted that "the said treatment was done according to fund-based accounting principles and does not involve any misappropriation/deviation of funds as such". The contention of the school is incorrect because Rule 177 of DSER, 1973 clearly specifies the manner in which the school fee/funds should be utilized. It has been noted that the school, has to implement the recommendation of the 7th CPC in full, earmarked the investment for payment of gratuity and leave encashment in accordance with AS-15, and maintenance of salary reserve equivalent to three months' salary for meeting future contingencies. The school, despite doing all this, that is required to do on a normal course of business, preferred to purchase a luxury car (Creta) for INR 13,81,769 without complying with the requirement of Rule 177 of DSER'1973. Further, Section 18(4) states "income derived by unaided schools by way of fees shall be utilised only for such educational purposes as may be prescribed".

Based on the above-mentioned provisions and reply submitted by the school, it appears that the school has incurred INR 13,81,769 for purchase of a car that will be used by the school management for their personal purposes, not for the benefit of the students. Therefore, the same has been considered as funds available with the school while deriving the fund position of the school with the direction to the school to recover this amount from the Society within 30 days from the date of issue of this order.

2. Clause 2 of Public notice dated 04.05.1997 states "Schools are not allowed to charge building fund and development charges when the building is complete or otherwise, as it is the responsibility of the society. Society should raise such fund from their own sources because the immovable property of the School become the sole property of the society. Therefore, the students should not be burdened by way of collecting the building fund or development charges".

Moreover, the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in its Judgment dated 30.10.1998 in case of Delhi Abibhavak Mahasangh concluded that "Tuition Fee cannot be fixed to recover capital expenditure to be incurred on the properties of the Society". Also, clause (vii) of order No.



F.DE/15/Act/2k/243/KKK/883-1982 dated 10.02.2005 issued by this Directorate states "Capital Expenditure cannot constitute a component of financial fee structure.

Rule 177 of DSER,1973 states "income derived by an unaided private recognised School by way of fees shall be utilised in the first instance, for meeting the pay, allowances and other benefits admissible to the employees of the School. Provided that savings, if any, from the fees collected by such School may be utilised by its management committee for meeting capital or contingent expenditure of the School, or for one or more of the following educational purposes, namely award of scholarships to students, establishment of any other recognised School, or assisting any other School or educational institution, not being a college, under the management of the same society or trust by which the first mentioned School is run. The abovementioned savings shall be arrived at after providing for the following, namely:

- a) Pension, gratuity and other specified retirement and other benefits admissible to the employees of the School;
- b) The needed expansion of the school or any expenditure of a developmental nature;
- c) The expansion of the school building or for the expansion or construction of any building or establishment of hostel or expansion of hostel accommodation;
- d) Co-curricular activities of the students;
- e) Reasonable reserve fund, not being less than ten percent, of such savings."

Accordingly, based on the abovementioned provisions and pronouncement of court's judgement, the cost relating to land and construction of the School building has to be met by the society, being the property of the society and School funds i.e., fee collected from students is not to be utilised for the same.

The DoE through order no. F.DE-15/(588)/PSB/2018/30924-29 dated 30.11.2018 issued for academic session 2017-18, directed the school to recover INR 1,17,88,475 from the society on account of expenditure incurred for addition to auditorium and furnishing work related to the building undertaken by the school from the FY 2014-15 to FY 2016-17 which is still pending for recovery.

The School in its reply submitted that "the captioned expenditure has been incurred duly under the legal framework. The school is allowed to spend the tuition fee on the said development purposes on availability calculated under Rule 177 of DSER' 1973". The contention of the school is incorrect because, in accordance with the aforementioned provisions, the school cannot be allowed to meet the responsibility of the society for which society is otherwise responsible. Further, the school has incurred the above expenditure without complying with the requirements of Rule 177 of DSER, 1973. Accordingly, the explanation provided by the school in its reply is not tenable and the school is required to recover the aforesaid amount from society.

Further, on review of the audited financial statements of FY 2017-18, it has been noted that the School has further incurred an additional amount for construction of the auditorium and related facilities which was also not in accordance with the above-mentioned provisions. Details of additional expenditure incurred by the school are provided below:

(Amount in INR)

Particulars	FY 2017-18
Auditorium	10,00,000
Furnishing work	32,00,000



FY 2017-18
9,00,000
51,00,000

Accordingly, the total expenditure of INR 1,68,88,475 (INR 1,17,88,475 plus INR 51,00,000) incurred by the school for construction of building has been included in the calculation of fund position of the School with the direction to the School to recover this amount from the society within 30 days from the date of issue of this order.

- As per Accounting Standard 15 'Employee Benefits' issued by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India states "Accounting for defined benefit plans is complex because actuarial assumptions are required to measure the obligation and the expense and there is a possibility of actuarial gains and losses." Further, the Accounting Standard defines Plan Assets (the form of investments to be made against liability towards retirement benefits) as:
  - a. Assets held by a long-term employee benefit fund; and
  - b. Qualifying insurance policies.

Para 57 of Accounting Standard 15 - 'Employee Benefits' issued by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India, "An enterprise should determine the present value of defined benefit obligations and the fair value of any plan assets with sufficient regularity that the amounts recognised in the financial statements do not differ materially from the amounts that would be determined at the balance sheet date."

The documents submitted by the school, such as the actual valuation report etc. were taken on record. On review of the documents submitted by the school, it has been noted that the school has recorded liability for gratuity and leave encashment based on the amount of actuarial valuation report. The total liability as per the actuarial valuation report was INR 66,52,339 for gratuity and INR 9,60,106 for leave encashment as on 31.03.2019.

Although the school has got the actuarial valuation report for its retirement benefits and reported the same in the audited financial statements. However, it has not invested any amount in plan assets which can be utilized by the school in discharging this liability. Since the school has only created provisions for its retirement benefits without having any investment in plan assets, no amount has been considered while deriving the fund position of the school.

Accordingly, the expenditure of INR 20,00,000 proposed by the school for retirement benefits for the FY 2019-20 has not been considered while deriving the fund position of the school.

The school is hereby directed to invest an amount equivalent to the amount determined by the actuary in plan assets as per the requirement of AS-15 within 30 days from the date of issue of this order and submit the compliance report thereof.

4. Para 14.2 of accounting standard 10- Fixed asset states that "items of fixed asset that have been retired from the active use and are held for disposal are stated at the lower of their net book value and net realisable value and are shown separately in the financial statement. Any expected loss is recognised immediately in the profit and loss account"

On review of the audited financial statements of FY 2018-19, it has been noted that the school has reported a sale of a fixed asset as a reduction from the gross value of the fixed asset which is not in accordance with the accounting treatment specified in AS-10 issued by ICAI. Thus, the cost of fixed assets reported by the school in its audited financial statements is not in accordance with accounting treatment specified in the Accounting Standards (AS-10). In the absence of relevant information with respect to the cost of the assets sold, accumulated depreciation, the impact of profit and loss on sale of such assets cannot be determined.

Further, the DoE in its order F.DE-15/ (588)/PSB/2018/30924-29 dated 30.11.2018 issued for academic session 2017-18, noted similar observations and directed the school to pass the necessary rectification entries in the books of accounts and report the same in the audited financial statements. But the school has not complied with the above-mentioned directions.

Therefore, the school is hereby again directed to make necessary changes to the carrying amount of the fixed assets and submit the compliance report within 30 days from the date of issue of this order.

## B. Other Observations

Rule 176 - 'Collections for specific purposes to be spent for that purpose' of the DSER, 1973 states
"Income derived from collections for specific purposes shall be spent only for such purpose."

Para no. 22 of Order No. F.DE./15(56)/ Act/2009/778 dated 11.02.2009 states "Earmarked levies will be calculated and collected on 'no-profit no loss' basis and spent only for the purpose for which they are being charged." Sub-rule 3 of Rule 177 of DSER, 1973 states "Funds collected for specific purposes, like sports, co-curricular activities, subscriptions for excursions or subscriptions for magazines, and annual charges, by whatever name called, shall be spent solely for the exclusive benefit of the students of the concerned School and shall not be included in the savings referred to in sub-rule (2)." Further, Sub-rule 4 of the said rule states "The collections referred to in sub-rule (3) shall be administered in the same manner as the monies standing to the credit of the Pupils Fund is administered."

Earmarked levies collected from students are a form of restricted funds, which, according to Guidance Note on Accounting by Schools issued by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India, are required to be credited to a separate fund account when the amount is received and reflected separately in the Balance Sheet.

Further, the aforementioned Guidance Note lays down the concept of fund-based accounting for restricted funds, whereby upon incurrence of expenditure, the same is charged to the Income and Expenditure Account ('Restricted Funds' column) and a corresponding amount is transferred from the concerned restricted fund account to the credit of the Income and Expenditure Account ('Restricted Funds' column).

Based on the information provided by the school and basis of the presentation made by the school in its audited financial statements, it has been noted that the school has started maintaining of separate fund accounts towards transportation charges. However, this has not been routed through income and expenditure accounts. The earmarked levies collected by the school based on the audited financial statements is provided below.

Page 6 of 14

Particulars	Transport Fee	Smart Class Fee
For FY 2017-18		
Fee Collected during the year (A)*	-	23,56,025
Expenses during the year (B)*	-	21,21,292
Difference for the year (A-B)		2,34,733
For FY 2018-19		
Fee Collected during the year (A)	1,32,06,899	25,49,550
Expenses during the year (B)	1,33,36,112	23,73,622
Difference for the year (A-B)	(1,29,213)	1,75,928
Total	(1,29,213)	4,10,661

<sup>\*</sup>Income and Expense details not provided by the School.

The earmarked levy is usually to be collected only from the user students availing the service/facility. In other words, if any service/facility has been extended to all the students of the School, a separate charge should not be levied for that service/facility as the same would get covered either under tuition fee (expenses on curricular activities) or annual charges (expenses other than those covered under tuition fee). From the record submitted by the School, it was noted the School has been collecting Smart class fee from all the students which loses the character of earmarked levies. Therefore, the School is directed not collect earmarked levies in the name of Smart class Fee with immediate effect. The act of the school of charging unwarranted fee or any other amount/fee under head other than the prescribed head of fee and accumulation of surplus fund thereof tantamount to profiteering and commercialization of education as well as charging of capitation fee in other form.

Further, the School is directed to charge earmarked levy on "no profit no loss basis" from the user students only. However, unintentional surplus/deficit, if any, generated from earmarked levies shall be utilized or adjusted against earmarked fees collected from the users in the subsequent year. Accordingly, the School should evaluate costs incurred against each earmarked levy and propose the revised fee structure for earmarked levies during subsequent proposal for enhancement of fee ensuring that the proposed levies are calculated on no-profit no-loss basis. The school is required to maintain fund-based accounting and charge all its receipt and payments of earmarked levies through income and expenditure Account ('Restricted Funds' column). The school is further directed to comply with the above direction and submit the compliance report within 30 days from the date of issue of this order.

- 2. Clause 14 of the order no F.DE./15(56)/Act/2009/778 dated 11.02.2009 states "development fee, not exceeding 15% of the total annual tuition fee may be charged for supplementing the resources for purchase, upgradation and replacement of furniture, fixture and equipment. Development fee, if required to be charged, shall be treated as capital receipt and shall be collected only if the school is maintaining a Depreciation Reserve Fund, equivalent to the depreciation charged in the revenue accounts and the collection under this head along with income generated from the investment made out of this fund will be kept in a separately maintained Development Fund Account". Thus, the above direction provides for:
  - Not to charge development fee for more than 15% of tuition fee.
  - Development fee will be used for purchase, upgradation and replacement of furniture, fixtures, and equipment.

Page 7 of 14 (

- Development fee will be treated as capital receipts.
- Depreciation reserve fund is to be maintained.

Also, para 99 of Guidance Note-21 'Accounting by School' issued by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India states "Where the fund is meant for meeting capital expenditure, upon incurrence of the expenditure, the relevant asset account is debited which is depreciated as per the recommendations contained in this Guidance Note. Thereafter, the concerned restricted fund account is treated as deferred income, to the extent of the cost of the asset, and is transferred to the credit of the income and expenditure account in proportion to the depreciation charged every year."

Further para 67 of GN-21 States "the financial statement should disclose, inter alia, the historical cost of fixed assets."

On review of the audited financial statements of FY 2016-17 to FY 2018-19, it has been noted that the school has not been charging depreciation in the income and expenditure account. However, the school has been following peculiar types of accounting treatment with respect to the creation of depreciation reserve fund. The school as per its convenience randomly transfer some amount from general funds to deprecation reserve fund which is not in accordance with the clause 14 of the order dated 11.02.2009. It appears that the school has been creating depreciation reserve funds just for the sake of compliance without having any logic or details as how the numbers for deprecation reserve fund has been arrived.

Further, upon utilization of development funds, the school transfers an amount equivalent to the assets purchased to general funds, which is not in accordance with the accounting treatment specified in para 99 of the Guidance Note 21 cited above. The school, instead of treating this as a deferred revenue income, transferred the same to general funds, resulting in overstatement of the general funds balance with the notional amount.

The DoE vide its order no. F.DE-15/(588)/PSB/2018/30924-29 dated 30.11.2018 issued for academic session 2017-18, directed the school to maintain the deprecation reserve fund as per clause 14 of the order dated 11.02.2009 and pass necessary rectification entries in its books of accounts, the school has not yet complied with the above directions. Therefore, the school is again directed to comply with the above-mentioned provisions and submit the compliance report within 30 days from the date of issue of this order.

3. The Fixed Asset Register should capture the details of the asset name, date of purchase and the amount serial number, location, invoice number, supplier, identification number, depreciation, etc. to facilitate identification of asset and documenting complete details of assets at one place.

However, the School had not prepared Fixed Asset register (FAR) in proper format and captured the asset name, date of purchase and the amount in the FAR. The School had not included complete details in the FAR such as amount, serial number, location, invoice number, supplier, identification number, depreciation, etc. to facilitate identification of asset and documenting complete details of assets at one place.

Therefore, the School is directed to prepare the FAR with relevant details mentioned above and the same shall be verified at the time of examination of fee proposal for next financial year. The above

Page 8 of 14

being a procedural finding, no financial impact is warranted for deriving the fund position of the School.

4. The School is not complying with the DOE Order No.F.DE.15/Act-I/08155/2013/5506-5518 dated 04.06.2012 as well as condition specified in the land allotment letter which require to provide 25% reservation for children belonging to EWS category. Since, the School is not complying with the aforesaid order, the concerned DDE are required to look into the matter. The admission allowed under EWS/ Free ship during the FY 2017-18 and FY 2018-19 are as under:

Particulars	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19
EWS Students	250	273
Total Students	2102	2025
% of EWS Students	11.89%	13.48%

5. As per clause 103 on Related Party Disclosure, contained in Guidance Note 21 on 'Accounting by Schools', issued by the ICAI, there is requirement that keeping in the view the involvement of public funds, Schools are required to disclose the transactions made in respect of related parties.

From review of the audited financial statements of 2018-19, it has been noted that the School has not made any disclosure relating to related party transactions in its audited financial statements. In the absence of such details, the purpose and genuineness of transactions entered between the related parties cannot be determined. Therefore, the School is hereby directed to include such details in audited financial statements of the subsequent year.

After detailed examination of all the material on record and considering the clarification submitted by the School, it was finally evaluated/ concluded that:

i. The total available funds for academic session 2019-20 amounting to INR 10,73,45,589 out of which estimated cash outflow for academic session 2019-20 is to be INR 11,67,27,838. This results in net deficit of INR 93,82,249. The details are as follows:

Particulars Partic	Amount in INR	
Cash and Bank balances as on 31.03.19 (As per audited financial statements for FY 2018-19)	34,07,085	
Investments as on 31.03.19 (As per audited financial statements for FY 2018-19)	64,89,985	
Liquid Fund as on 31.03.2019	98,97,070	
Add: Fees for FY 2018-19 as per Audited Financial Statements of FY 2018-19 (refer note no.1)	7,96,94,715	
Add: Other income for FY 2018-19 as per Audited Financial Statements of FY 2018-19 (refer note no.1)	10,79,459	
Add: Recovery from the Society for purchase of vehicle in contravention of Rule 177 of DSEA' 1973. (refer financial observation no.1)	13,81,769	
Add: Recovery from the Society for construction of Building and related utilities in contravention of clause 2 of Public notice dated 04.05.1997 and Rule 177 of DSEA' 1973. (refer financial observation no.2)	1,68,88,475	
Total Available funds for FY 2019-20	10,89,41,488	
Less: FDR in the joint name of Director, Education and Manager of the School (as per School's submission)	9,66,949	
Less: Investment against specific funds (Refer note no.2)	-	
Less: Development Fund as on 31.03.2019	6,28,950	



Particulars	Amount in INR
Less: Depreciation reserve fund as on 31.03.2018 (refer note no.3)	
Net Available funds for FY 2019-20	10,73,45,589
Less: Budgeted expenses of FY 2019-20 (refer note no.4)	9,44,85,000
Less: Impact of implementation of 7th CPC (refer note no.5)	2,22,42,838
Estimated Deficit	93,82,249

**Note 1:** Fee and income as per the audited financial statements of FY 2018-19 has been considered assuming that income earned during the FY 2018-19 will at least accrue to the school in FY 2019-20 as well.

**Note 2**: As per the information provided by the school. The details of investments held by the school as on 31.03.2019 is as under:

Particulars	Amount in INR	Remark
FDR with Indian bank against gratuity and leave encashment.	55,23,036	Investment held in the form of FDR does not qualify as plan assets within the meaning of AS-15 issued by ICAI. Therefore, this has been considered as part of the available funds with the school.
FDR in joint name with Dy. Director of Education.	9,66,949	This investment held in the joint name has been excluded while deriving the fund position of the school.
FDR pledged with the bank to create Bank guarantee (BG) for JADSC.	69,94,985	The school has submitted BG with the Registrar of Delhi High Court by pledging its FDR of INR 69.95 lacs. Therefore, this has been excluded while deriving the fund position of the school.

Note 3: As per the Duggal Committee report, there are four categories of fees that can be charged by a private unaided School. The first category of fee comprised of "Registration fee and all one Time Charges' levied at the time of admissions such as admission and caution money. The second category of fee comprises 'Tuition Fee' which is to be fixed to cover the standard cost of the establishment and to cover the expenditure of revenue nature for the improvement of curricular facilities like library, laboratories, science, and computer fee up to class X and examination fee. The third category of the fee should consist of 'Annual Charges' to cover all expenditure not included in the second category and the fourth category consist of all 'Earmarked Levies' for the services rendered by the school and be recovered only from the 'User' students. These charges are transport fee, swimming pool charges, Horse riding, tennis, midday meals etc. This recommendation has been considered by the Directorate while issuing order No. DE.15/Act/Duggal.com/203/99/23033-23980 dated 15.12.1999 and order No. /15(56)/Act/2009/778 dated 11.02.2009.

The purpose of each head of the fee has been defined and it is nowhere defined the usage of development fee or any other head of fee for investments against depreciation reserve fund.



Further, Clause 7 of order No. DE.15/Act/Duggal.com/203/99/23033-23980 dated 15.12.1999 and clause 14 of the order no F.DE./15(56)/Act/2009/778 dated 11.02.2009, "development fee, not exceeding 15% of the total annual tuition fee may be charged for supplementing the resources for purchase, upgradation and replacement of furniture, fixture and equipment. Development fee, if required to be charged, shall be treated as capital receipt and shall be collected only if the school is maintaining a Depreciation Reserve Fund, equivalent to the depreciation charged in the revenue accounts and the collection under this head along with and income generated from the investment made out of this fund will be kept in a separately maintained Development Fund Account". Thus, the above direction provides for:

- Not to charge development fee for more than 15% of tuition fee.
- Development fee will be used for purchase, upgradation and replacement of furniture, fixtures, and equipment.
- Development fee will be treated as capital receipts.
- Depreciation reserve fund is to be maintained.

Thus, the creation of the depreciation reserve fund is a pre-condition for charging of development fee, as per above provisions and the decision of Hon'ble Supreme court in the case of Modern School Vs Union of India & Ors.: 2004(5) SCC 583. Even the Clause 7 of the above direction does not require to maintain any investments against depreciation reserve fund. Also, as per para 99 of Guidance Note-21 'Accounting by School' issued by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India states "Where the fund is meant for meeting capital expenditure, upon incurrence of the expenditure, the relevant asset account is debited which is depreciated as per the recommendations contained in this Guidance Note. Thereafter, the concerned restricted fund account is treated as deferred income, to the extent of the cost of the asset, and is transferred to the credit of the income and expenditure account in proportion to the depreciation charged every year."

Accordingly, the depreciation reserve (that is to be created equivalent to the depreciation charged in the revenue account) is mere of an accounting head for the appropriate accounting treatment of depreciation in the books of account of the school in accordance with Guidance Note -21 issued by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India. Thus, there is no financial impact of depreciation reserve on the fund position of the School. Accordingly, the depreciation reserve fund of INR 1,98,941 as reported by the School in the audited financial statements for the FY 2018-19 has not been considered while deriving the fund position of the School.

Note 4: All budgeted expenditure of the school for FY 2019-20 has been considered except the following.

Particulars	Amount in INR	Remarks
Transportation expenses	1,71,00,000	The school has started the maintaining fund-based accounting. Therefore, transportation expenses has been excluded while deriving the fund position of the school.
Gratuity and Leave encashment	20,00,000	Refer financial observation no.3.

Note 5: The Directorate vide order No. DE.15 (318)/PDB/2016/18117, dated 25.08.2017, the Managing Committee of all the private unaided recognized Schools were directed to implement the

Page 11 of 14

Central Civil Revised Pay Rules 2016 in respect of the regular employees of the corresponding status in their Schools with effect from 01.01.2016 as adopted by the Government of NCT of Delhi vide its circulars No. 30-3(17)/(12)/VII Pay Comm./Coord./2016/110006-11016 dated 19.08.2016 and No. 30-3(17)/(12)/VII Pay Comm./Coord./2016/12659-12689 dated 14.10.2016. Further, vide order No. F.DE.15/ (318)/PSB/2019/11925-30 dated 09.10.2019, the managing committee of all Private Unaided Schools once again directed to implement the recommendation of 7<sup>th</sup> CPC with effect 01.01.2016 within 15 days from the date of issue of aforesaid order.

Further, section 10 of DSEA states "the scales of pay and allowances, medical facilities, mention, gratuity, provident fund and other prescribed benefits of the employees of recognized private school shall not be less than those of the employees of the corresponding status in school run by the appropriate authority". Therefore, employees of all the private unaided recognized Schools are entitled to get the revised pay commission. This legal position has been settled by the Hon'ble High Court long back at the in the matter of WPC 160/2017; titled as Lata Rana Versus DAV Public School & Ors vide order dated 06.09.2018 for implementation of sixth pay commission recommendations.

As per the minutes of meeting of the School Management Committee dated 21.12.2019 and documents submitted by the School which were taken on record, it has been noted that the School has partially implemented the recommendation of 7<sup>th</sup> CPC with effect from October 2019. The school further explained that 7<sup>th</sup> CPC could not be implemented w.e.f. 01.01.2016 on the ground of insufficient funds with the school.

Accordingly, the School has proposed salary arrear of INR 2,22,42,838 from January 2016 to March 2019 which has been considered while deriving the fund position of the school. The School is hereby directed to implement the recommendations of 7<sup>th</sup> CPC in full within 30 days from the date of issue of this order. A strict action against the School would be initiated u/s 24(3) of DSEA, 1973 for non-compliance with the direction cited above.

ii. In view of the above examination, it is evident that the school does not have adequate funds for meeting all the operational expenses for the financial year 2019-20 on the exiting fee structure. In this regard, Directorate of Education has already issued directions to the schools vide circular no. 1978 dated 16/04/2010 that,

"All Schools must, first of all, explore and exhaust the possibility of utilising the existing funds/ reserves to meet any shortfall in payment of salary and allowances, as a consequence of increase in the salary and allowance of the employees. A part of the reserve fund which has not been utilised for years together may also be used to meet the shortfall before proposing a fee increase."

AND WHEREAS, in the light of above evaluation which is based on the provisions of DSEA, 1973, DSER, 1973, guidelines, orders and circulars issued from time to time by this Directorate, it was recommended by the team of Chartered Accountants along with certain financial and other observations that the sufficient funds are not available with the school to carry out its operations for the academic session 2019-20. Accordingly, the fee increase proposal of the school may be accepted

AND WHEREAS, it has been noted that the School has incurred INR 1,82,70,244 towards construction of building and related utilities, purchase of car, which is not in accordance with clause 2 of public notice dated 04.05.1997 and Rule 177 of DSER, 1973. Thus, the School is directed to

Page 12 of 14

recover INR 1,82,70,244 from the Society. The receipt of the above amount along with the copy of the bank statement showing the receipt of above-mentioned amount should be submitted with DoE, in compliance of the same, within thirty days from the date of issuance of this Order. Non-compliance of this shall be taken up as per DSEA&R, 1973.

AND WHEREAS, recommendation of the team of Chartered Accountants along with relevant materials were put before the Director (Education) for consideration and who after considering all the material on the record, and after considering the provisions of section 17 (3), 18(5), 24(1) of the DSEA, 1973 read with Rules 172, 173, 175 and 177 of the DSER, 1973 has found that funds are not available with the school for meeting financial implication for the academic session 2019-20.

AND WHEREAS, it is relevant to mention that Covid-19 pandemic had a widespread impact on the entire society as well as on general economy. Further, charging of any arrears on account of fee for several months from the parents is not advisable not only because of additional sudden burden fall upon the parents/students but also as per the past experience, the benefit of such collected arrears is not passed to the teachers and staff in most of the cases as was observed by the Justice Anil Dev Singh Committee (JADSC) during the implementation of the 6<sup>th</sup> CPC. Keeping this in view, and exercising the powers conferred under Rule 43 of DSER, 1973, the Director (Education) has accepted the proposal submitted by the school and allowed an increase in fee by 12% to be effective from 01 July 2022.

AND WHEREAS, the school is directed, henceforth to take necessary corrective steps on the financial and other observations noted during the above evaluation process and submit the compliance status within 30 days from the date of this order to the D.D.E (PSB).

Accordingly, it is hereby conveyed that the proposal of fee increase for academic session 2019-20 of Saai Memorial School, Sai Bhawan, Geeta Colony Near Petrol Pump, Delhi – 110031 (School Id: 1003239), has been accepted by the Director of Education and the School is hereby allowed to increase the fee by 12% to be effective from 01 July 2022.

Further, the management of said School is hereby directed under section 24(3) of DSEA, 1973 to comply with the following directions:

- 1. To increase the fee only by the prescribed percentage from the specified date.
- 2. To ensure payment of salary is made in accordance with the provision of section 10(1) of the DSEA, 1973. Further, the scarcity of funds cannot be the reason for non-payment of salary and other benefits admissible to the teachers/ staffs in accordance with section 10(1) of the DSEA, 1973. Therefore, the Society running the School must ensure payment to teachers/ staffs accordingly.
- 3. To utilize the fee collected from students in accordance with the provisions of Rule 177 of the DSER, 1973 and orders and directions issued by this Directorate from time to time.

Non-compliance of this Order or any direction herein shall be viewed seriously and will be dealt with in accordance with the provisions of Delhi School Education Act, 1973, and Delhi School Education Rules, 1973.

Page 13 of 14

This order is issued with the prior approval of the Competent Authority.

(Yogesh Pal Singh) Dy. Director of Education (Private School Branch) Directorate of Education, GNCT of Delhi

To

Saai Memorial School, Sai Bhawan, School Id: 1003239 Geeta Colony Near Petrol Pump, New Delhi - 110031

No. F.DE.15(875)/PSB/2022/ 6061-6065

Dated: 28 07 22

Copy to:

- 1. P.S. to Secretary (Education), Directorate of Education, GNCT of Delhi.
- 2. P.S. to Director (Education), Directorate of Education, GNCT of Delhi.
- 3. DDE (East) to ensure the compliance of the above order by the School Management.
- 4. In-charge (I.T Cell) with the request to upload on the website of this Directorate.
- 5. Guard file.

(Yogesh Pal Singh) Dy. Director of Education (Private School Branch) Directorate of Education, GNCT of Delhi